

Direct method: the Dirichlet integral.

Theorem: Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be bounded, open with Lipschitz (or even C^2) boundary.

Let $v_0 \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and let

$$m := \inf \left\{ I(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v(x)|^2 dx \right.$$

$$\text{as } v - v_0 \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega).$$

There is exactly one $\bar{v} \in v_0 + W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ such that $I(\bar{v}) = m$.

Moreover \bar{v} satisfies the weak form of Laplace equation

$$(weak) \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla \bar{v} \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega).$$

Conversely if $\bar{v} \in v_0 + W_0^{1,2}$

satisfies (weak) then $I(\bar{v}) = m$.

Existence:

Step 1: We find a sequence $\{v_\rho\}$ in $v_0 + W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$: $v_\rho \xrightarrow{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \bar{v}$ (weakly).

Observe that $0 \leq m \leq I(v_0 + 0) < \infty$ (why?).

Since $v_0 \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$, let $\{v_\rho \in v_0 + W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)\}_{\rho \in \mathbb{N}}$ s.t.

$$I(v_\rho) \xrightarrow{\rho \rightarrow \infty} m$$

$$\|v_\rho\|_{W^{1,2}} \leq \|v_\rho - v_0\|_{W^{1,2}} + \|v_0\|_{W^{1,2}}$$

since $v_\rho - v_0 \in W_0^{1,2}$

$$\leq \gamma_1 \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(v_\rho - v_0)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} + \|v_0\|_{W^{1,2}}$$

by Poincaré inequality

$$= \gamma_2 \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_\rho|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} + \gamma_3 \|v_0\|_{W^{1,2}}$$

$$= \gamma_2 \sqrt{2I(v_\rho)} + \gamma_3 \|v_0\|_{W^{1,2}} \leq \gamma_4$$

From Banach-Alaoglu we can find a subsequence $\{v_{\rho_k}\}$ s.t.

$$v_{\rho_k} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \bar{v} \in W^{1,2}(\Omega); \quad \|\bar{v}\| \leq \gamma_4.$$

Now Retabel $v_\rho \leftrightarrow \rho$.

Proof. Existence: the main idea is reproducing the proof of Weinstress Thm. Uniqueness: here we use convexity.

Step 2. We show that

$$v_p \xrightarrow{p \rightarrow \infty} \bar{v} \text{ in } W^{1,2} \Rightarrow \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} I(v_p) \geq I(\bar{v})$$

Start with

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla v_p|^2 &= |\nabla \bar{v}|^2 + 2 \nabla \bar{v} \cdot \nabla (v_p - \bar{v}) + |\nabla (v_p - \bar{v})|^2 \\ &\geq |\nabla \bar{v}|^2 + 2 \nabla \bar{v} \cdot \nabla (v_p - \bar{v}), \end{aligned}$$

~~penalization~~ in playing

$$I(v_p) \geq I(\bar{v}) + \int \nabla \bar{v} \cdot \nabla (v_p - \bar{v}) \, dx$$

Now, $v_p - \bar{v} \xrightarrow{p \rightarrow \infty} 0$ in $W^{1,2}$ means that $\forall \varphi \in W^{1,2}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \langle v_p - \bar{v}, \varphi \rangle_{W^{1,2}} = \\ &= \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \langle v_p - \bar{v}, \nabla \varphi \rangle_{L^2} + \int (\bar{v} - \bar{v}) \varphi \, dx \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Let's ignore $\bar{v} - \bar{v}$:
~~that's just a constant, right?~~

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int \langle v_p - \bar{v}, \nabla \varphi \rangle_{L^2} \, dx \right) \\ \text{Lemma. } \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \langle v_p - \bar{v}, \varphi \rangle_{W^{1,2}} &= 0 \\ \hookrightarrow \text{(i) } \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \langle v_p - \bar{v}, \varphi \rangle_{L^2} &= 0 \\ \text{and (ii) } \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \langle \nabla(v_p - \bar{v}), \nabla \varphi \rangle_{L^2} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then $\int \langle v_p - \bar{v}, \nabla \varphi \rangle_{L^2} \, dx \xrightarrow{p \rightarrow \infty} 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hence } \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \int \frac{|\nabla v_p|^2 + |\nabla \bar{v}|^2}{2} \, dx &= I(\bar{v}) \\ &= I(\bar{v}) + I(\bar{v}) = m \end{aligned}$$

By steps 1 and 2 we have
 $m \leq I(\bar{v}) \leq \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} I(v_p) = m$,
hence \bar{v} is a minimizer.

Uniqueness. Suppose $\bar{v}, \tilde{v} \in v_0 + W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $I(\bar{v}) = I(\tilde{v}) = m$. Then,

$$\frac{\bar{v} + \tilde{v}}{2} \in v_0 + W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2) \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} m &\leq I\left(\frac{\bar{v} + \tilde{v}}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \int \left| \frac{\nabla \bar{v} + \nabla \tilde{v}}{2} \right|^2 \, dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int \left(|\nabla \bar{v}|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{v}|^2 \right) \, dx \text{ since } \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\cdot|^2 \, dx \text{ is convex} \\ &= \frac{I(\bar{v}) + I(\tilde{v})}{2} = m \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\frac{\bar{v} + \tilde{v}}{2}$ is minimizing, too. So,

$$0 = \int \frac{|\nabla \bar{v}|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{v}|^2}{2} - \left| \frac{\nabla \bar{v} + \nabla \tilde{v}}{2} \right|^2 \, dx$$

but the integrand is ≥ 0 by convexity

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \frac{|\nabla \bar{v}|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{v}|^2}{2} - \left| \frac{\nabla \bar{v} + \nabla \tilde{v}}{2} \right|^2 &= 0 \text{ d.e.} \\ \Rightarrow \text{(by strict convexity of } S^1 \rightarrow L^2 \text{)} \\ \nabla \bar{v} = \nabla \tilde{v} \text{ d.e.} \Rightarrow \bar{v} - \tilde{v} = 0 \text{ d.e.} \end{aligned}$$

We have next two facts.

$$\Rightarrow \Delta \bar{v} = 0.$$

Lemma. $\nabla v = 0$ d.e. and $v \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$

$$\Rightarrow \exists c \in \mathbb{R} : v = c \text{ d.e.}$$

Lemma. $v = c$ d.e. and $v \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$

$$\Rightarrow c = v.$$

Euler-Lagrange equation.

Supp. \bar{v} is a minimizer and $\varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$. Let $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} I(\bar{v}) &\leq I(\bar{v} + \varepsilon \varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\nabla \bar{v} + \varepsilon \nabla \varphi)^2 dx \\ &= I(\bar{v}) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \nabla \bar{v} \cdot \nabla \varphi dx + \varepsilon I(\varphi) \varepsilon^2 \\ \text{i.e. } 0 &\leq \varepsilon \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \nabla \bar{v} \cdot \nabla \varphi dx + \varepsilon I(\varphi) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \nabla \bar{v} \cdot \nabla \varphi dx + \varepsilon I(\varphi) \leq 0$$

if $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \nabla \bar{v} \cdot \nabla \varphi dx = 0} \quad \forall \varphi \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$$

Obs. That if we knew that $\bar{v} \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$, we could use div. Thm. to show

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \nabla \bar{v} \cdot \nabla \varphi dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi \Delta \bar{v} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta \bar{v} \cdot \varphi dx$$

$$= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta \bar{v} \cdot \varphi dx \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$$

Converse statement.

Supp. $\bar{v} \in v_0 + W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies weak, let $v \in v_0 + W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\varphi = v - \bar{v} \in W_0(\mathbb{R})$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} I(v) &= I(\bar{v} + \varphi) = I(\bar{v}) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \nabla \bar{v} \cdot \nabla \varphi dx + I(\varphi) \\ &= I(\bar{v}) + I(\varphi) \quad \text{by (weak)} \\ &\geq I(\bar{v}) \end{aligned}$$

i.e. \bar{v} is a minimizer. \blacksquare

Exercise. Let $I(v) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla v|^p dx$, $1 < p < \infty$.

(i) Show that $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow |\mathbb{R}|^p$ is convex on \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$\text{hence that } I(tv + ((1-t)v)) \leq t \cdot I(v) + (1-t)I(v),$$

$$\forall v, v \in W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]$$

(ii) First weak and strong form of the Euler-Lagrange equation for I (it's called the p -Laplace eq.).

(iii) Remove the proof of the Thm. just prove it and provide a more general statement.