

The rational Krylov subspace for parameter dependent systems

V. Simoncini

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Bologna valeria.simoncini@unibo.it

Motivation. Model Order Reduction

Given the continuous-time system

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline C & \end{array} \right), \quad A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \ B, C^T \text{ tall}$$

Analyse the construction of a reduced system

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \tilde{A} & \tilde{B} \\ \hline \tilde{C} & \end{array} \right), \qquad \tilde{A} \text{ of size } m \ll n$$

so that all relevant properties are captured by $\widetilde{\Sigma}$

Motivation (cont'd): Linear Dynamical Systems

Time-invariant linear system:

$$\mathbf{x}'(t) = A\mathbf{x}(t) + B\mathbf{u}(t), \qquad \mathbf{x}(0) = x_0$$
$$\mathbf{y}(t) = C\mathbf{x}(t)$$

- Approximation of parameter-dependent systems
- Approximation of the matrix Transfer function
- Other problems (e.g., Matrix equations)

Emphasis: A large dimensions, $W(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^-$

Projection methods: the general idea

Given space $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of size O(m) and (orthonormal) basis V_m ,

$$A \rightarrow A_m = V_m^T A V_m$$
$$B \rightarrow B_m = V_m^T B$$
$$C \rightarrow C_m = C V_m$$

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{\Sigma}} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A_m & B_m \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ C_m & \end{array} \right)$$

Choices of K in the literature:

Choices of K in the literature:

• Standard Krylov subspace:

 $K_m(A,B) = \operatorname{Range}\{[B,AB,\ldots,A^{m-1}B]\}$

Choices of K in the literature:

- Standard Krylov subspace: $K_m(A, B) = \text{Range}\{[B, AB, \dots, A^{m-1}B]\}$
- Shift-Invert Krylov subspace: $K_m((A \sigma I)^{-1}, B) =$ Range{ $[B, (A - \sigma I)^{-1}B, \dots, (A - \sigma I)^{-(m-1)}B]$ }; often $\sigma = 0$

Choices of K in the literature:

- Standard Krylov subspace: $K_m(A, B) = \text{Range}\{[B, AB, \dots, A^{m-1}B]\}$
- Shift-Invert Krylov subspace: $K_m((A \sigma I)^{-1}, B) =$ Range{ $[B, (A - \sigma I)^{-1}B, \dots, (A - \sigma I)^{-(m-1)}B]$ }; often $\sigma = 0$
- Extended Krylov subspace:

 $\mathbf{EK}_{m}(A,B) = K_{m}(A,B) + K_{m}(A^{-1},A^{-1}B)$

Choices of K in the literature:

- Standard Krylov subspace: $K_m(A, B) = \text{Range}\{[B, AB, \dots, A^{m-1}B]\}$
- Shift-Invert Krylov subspace: $K_m((A \sigma I)^{-1}, B) =$ Range{ $[B, (A - \sigma I)^{-1}B, \dots, (A - \sigma I)^{-(m-1)}B]$ }; often $\sigma = 0$
- Extended Krylov subspace: $\mathbf{EK}_m(A,B) = K_m(A,B) + K_m(A^{-1},A^{-1}B)$
- Rational Krylov subspace:

$$K_m(A, B, \mathbf{s}) = \text{Range}\{[(A - s_1 I)^{-1} B, (A - s_2 I)^{-1} B, \dots, (A - s_m I)^{-1} B]\}$$

in the past,
$$\mathbf{s} = [s_1, \ldots, s_m]$$
 a-priori

Parameter-dependent linear systems

$$x = f_{\sigma}(A)b \quad \approx \quad \widetilde{x} \in K$$

Parameter-dependent linear systems

$$x = f_{\sigma}(A)b \quad \approx \quad \widetilde{x} \in K$$

Here, $f_{\sigma}(\lambda) = (\lambda + \sigma)^{-1}$. A different perspective:

• Shifted linear systems. Many shifts in a wide range

(e.g., Structural dynamics, electromagn.)

$$(A + \sigma_j I)x = v, \qquad \sigma_j \in [\alpha, \beta], \quad \text{large interval}, j = O(100)$$

• Few (possibly complex) shifts (e.g., quadrature formulas)

$$z = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \omega_j (A - \sigma_j I)^{-1} v$$

• Transfer function

$$h(\sigma) = c^T (A - i\sigma I)^{-1} b, \quad \sigma \in [\alpha, \beta]$$

Shifted systems

Approximation. Defining $\mathcal{T}_m = V_m^* A V_m$,

$$x \approx x_m = V_m f_\sigma(\mathcal{T}_m) e_1 = V_m (\mathcal{T}_m + \sigma I)^{-1} e_1$$

Galerkin condition: $r_m := b - (A + \sigma I)x_m \perp K$.

(standard Galerkin-type approximation for shifted systems, cf. FOM, CG, ...)

Key fact: A single K for all shifted systems.

Note: Solve systems with A to approximate $(A + \sigma I)^{-1}v$

Added feature: restarting made easy

A numerical example

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Matrix A discretization of} & \mathcal{L}(u) = -\Delta u + 50(x+y)(u_x+u_y) \\ \\ b = {\bf 1}, \mbox{ 500 shift in } [0,5] \end{array}$

("backslash" takes 2.52 secs for each system of size 160 000)

n	subspace	std Krylov Extended Krylov	
	dimension	CPU time (#cycles)	CPU time (#cycles)
2500	10	1.50 (41)	0.65 (4)
2500	20	1.57 (14)	0.63 (2)
10 000	10	3.23 (49)	1.96 (7)
10 000	20	4.35 (27)	1.75 (3)
160 000	10	399.80 (>300)	62.81 (13)
160 000	20	356.63 (97)	109.87 (6)

Sylvester-type equations

In shifted systems, the right-hand side may depend on the parameter: $B = [b(\sigma_1), \dots, b(\sigma_s)]$

$$AX + XS = B,$$
 $S = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s)$

(special case of more general Sylvester equation)

Generate approximation space starting with $B = V_1 \beta_0$

(V_1 orthnormal columns, β_0 possibly rectangular)

A numerical example. Direct frequency analysis in structural dynamics

$$(K^{\star} - \sigma^2 M)x(\sigma) = b(\sigma), \quad \text{size } 3627$$

 K^* complex symmetric, $B = [b(\sigma_1), \ldots, b(\sigma_s)]$ of rank 2.

Simultaneous solution:

$$K^{\star}X + MXS = B, \quad S = -\operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_s^2).$$

For M real sym nonsingular, $M = LL^T$ and

$$(L^{-1}K^{\star}L^{-T})\widetilde{X} + \widetilde{X}S = L^{-1}B, \qquad \widetilde{X} = UX$$

with $L^{-1}K^{\star}L^{-T}$ complex symmetric \Rightarrow bilinear form x^Ty

A numerical example. Direct frequency analysis in structural dynamics

Comparison with: $Q(\sigma)x(\sigma) = b(\sigma)$, $Q(\sigma) = K^{\star} - \sigma^2 M$ for each σ ,

(complex symmetric CG method, preconditioned with LDL^{\top} with drop. tol. 10^{-2})

	Sparse Direct	Complex sym	Extended Krylov	Extended Krylov
$\#\sigma$	Solver	CG	Direct solves K^{\star}	Iter.solves K^{\star}
50	12.57	48.30 (108)	1.59 (36)	8.00 (36)
100	24.88	96.33 (108)	2.12 (40)	9.69 (40)

Transfer function approximation

A with field of values in \mathbb{C}^-

$$h(\omega) = c(A - i\omega I)^{-1}b, \qquad \omega \in [\alpha, \beta]$$

Given space K of size m and V_m s.t. $K=\operatorname{range}(V_m)$,

$$h(\omega) \approx cV_m (V_m^T A V_m - i\omega I)^{-1} (V_m^T b)$$

Next:

Classical benchmark experiment with Standard, Shift-invert and Extended Krylov



Rational Krylov Subspace Method. Choice of poles

 $K_m(A, B, \mathbf{s}) = \text{Range}\{[(A - s_1 I)^{-1} B, (A - s_2 I)^{-1} B, \dots, (A - s_m I)^{-1} B]\}$

cf. General discussion in Antoulas, 2005.

Various attempts:

- Gallivan, Grimme, Van Dooren (1996–, ad-hoc poles)
- Penzl (1999-2000, ADI shifts preprocessing, Ritz values)
-
- Sabino (2006 tuning within preprocessing)
- IRKA Gugercin, Antoulas, Beattie (2008)

A new adaptive choice of poles for RKSM $K_m(A, b, \mathbf{s}) = \operatorname{span}\{(A - s_1 I)^{-1} b, (A - s_2 I)^{-1} b, \dots, (A - s_m I)^{-1} b\}$

 $\mathbf{s} = [s_1, \ldots, s_m]$ to be chosen sequentially (no fixed m)

The fundamental idea: Assume you wish to solve

$$(A - sI)x = b$$

with a Galerkin procedure in $K_m(A, b, s)$. Let V_m be orth. basis. The residual satisfies:

$$b - (A - sI)x_m = \frac{r_m(A)b}{r_m(s)}, \qquad r_m(z) = \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{z - \lambda_j}{z - s_j}$$

with $\lambda_j = \operatorname{eigs}(V_m^T A V_m)$. Moreover,

$$||r_m(A)b|| = \min_{\theta_1,\dots,\theta_m} ||\prod_{j=1}^m (A - \theta_j I)(A - s_j I)^{-1}b||$$

A new adaptive choice of poles for RKSM. Cont'd

$$r_m(z) = \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{z - \lambda_j}{z - s_j}, \qquad \lambda_j = \operatorname{eigs}(V_m^* A V_m)$$

For A symmetric:

$$s_{m+1} := \arg\left(\max_{s \in [-\lambda_{\max}, -\lambda_{\min}]} \frac{1}{|r_m(s)|}\right)$$

 $[\lambda_{\min}, \lambda_{\max}] \approx \operatorname{spec}(A)$ (Druskin, Lieberman, Zaslavski (SISC 2010))

For A nonsymmetric:

$$s_{m+1} := \arg\left(\max_{s \in \partial \mathcal{S}_m} \frac{1}{|r_m(s)|}\right)$$

where $\mathcal{S}_m \subset \mathbb{C}^+$ approximately encloses the eigenvalues of -A

Example of S_m . CD Player, m = 12

 S_m : encloses mirrored current Ritz values: -eigs $(V_m^T A V_m)$ and initial estimates: $s_1^{(0)} = 0.1$, $s_{2,3}^{(0)} = 900 \pm i5 \cdot 10^4$





Transfer function evaluation. Flow Data set. $h(\omega) = c(A - i\omega E)^{-1}b$ $|h(\omega) - h_{approx}(\omega)|$ $|h(\omega)|$ 10⁰ 10⁰ 10^{-2} 10^{-2} 10⁻⁴ 10⁻⁴ extended invert-arnoldi 10⁻⁶ 10⁻⁶ rational krvlov ----- true 10⁻⁸ 10⁻⁸ extended invert-arnoldi 10⁻¹⁰ 10^{-10} rational krylov 10⁻¹² 10 10¹ 10² 10³ 10⁻¹ 10⁰ 10⁴ ′10⁻¹ 10⁰ 10² 10³ 10¹ 10⁴ m = 20. A, E of size 9669 (nonsym) $s_0^{(1)} = ||A||/(\text{condest}(A)||E||_F)$, $s_0^{(1)} \approx \arg(\max \Re(\operatorname{eigs}(A)))$ (all real poles)

Understanding the Rational Krylov subspace. State of the art.

- Shift selection.
 - Historically, a-priori selection (possibly with pre-processing)
 - Given A symmetric, asymptotically optimal rational space for $i\mathbb{R}$ by using an equidistributed nested sequence of real shifts

(from classical Zolotaryov sol'n)

Druskin, Knizhnerman, Zaslavsky (SISC 2009)

- For f(A)b, variants of adaptive selection (Güttel-Knizhnerman, tr 2012)

• Convergence Analysis. Mostly very recent, based on classical potential theory.

(Beckermann, Druskin, Eiermann, Ernst, Güttel, Knizhnerman, Lieberman, Reichel, Simoncini, Vandebril, Zaslavsky)

Projection methods for matrix equations

$$\mathcal{F}(X) = 0$$

linear or quadratic equations, such as:

- $AX + XA^T + BB^T = 0$ Lyapunov equation
- AX + XB + C = 0 Sylvester equation
- $AX + XA^T XBB^TX + C^TC = 0$ Riccati equation

With an approximation in the space K with basis $V_m \otimes V_m$,

(Use the Kronecker formulation for the derivation only)

residual
$$\perp K \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad V_m^T \mathcal{F}(X_m) V_m = 0$$

where X_m is the matrix approximation

The Lyapunov matrix equation

$$AX + XA^T + BB^T = 0$$

Approximation by projection: K of dim. m, V_m orthonormal basis. $X \approx X_m = V_m Y V_m^T$

$$(V_m^T A V_m)Y + Y(V_m^T A^T V_m) + V_m^T B B^T V_m = 0$$

With K being the Krylov subspace (Saad, '90, Jaimoukha & Kasenally, '94), the Extended Krylov subspace (Simoncini, '07), the adaptive Rational Krylov subspace (Druskin & Simoncini, '11)

The Lyapunov equation. Cont'd.

Long term Competitor:

 Alternating Directions Implicit iteration (ADI) (large number of contributions, see, e.g., Benner, Penzl, etc.)
problem: computation of parameters

 \star Extended Krylov Subspace method numerically outperforms ADI in general

- \star Rational Krylov Subspace is at least as good as ADI
- (Druskin, Knizhnerman, Simoncini, '11, Beckermann '11, theoretical arguments)

Convergence Analysis

Much is now known on convergence (in the last 5 years!)

Conclusions and outlook

- Projection-type methods still have great potential
- "Second" generation Krylov spaces can attack harder problem than standard linear systems
- Quadratic problems (Heyouni, Jbilou, '09).

New very promising results for Riccati eqn (Simoncini, Szyld)

www.dm.unibo.it/~simoncin valeria.simoncini@unibo.it

References:

★ V. Simoncini, A new iterative method for solving large-scale Lyapunov matrix equations. SIAM J. Scient. Comput., v.29, n.3 (2007), pp. 1268-1288

* V. Simoncini, The Extended Krylov subspace for parameter dependent systems. Applied Num. Math. v.60 n.5 (2010) 550-560.

* V. Druskin and V. Simoncini, Adaptive rational Krylov subspaces for large-scale dynamical systems. Systems and Control Letters, 2011.