

Krylov subspace methods for large scale matrix equations

V. Simoncini

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Bologna (Italy) valeria.simoncini@unibo.it

• Sylvester matrix equation

$$A\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X}B + D = 0$$

Eigenvalue pbs, Control, MOR, Assignment pbs, Riccati eqn, (fract) PDEs

• Sylvester matrix equation

$$A\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X}B + D = 0$$

Eigenvalue pbs, Control, MOR, Assignment pbs, Riccati eqn, (fract) PDEs

• Lyapunov matrix equation

$$A\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X}A^{\top} + D = 0, \qquad D = D^{\top}$$

Stability analysis in Control and Dynamical systems, Signal processing, eigenvalue computations, linear PDEs

• Sylvester matrix equation

$$A\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X}B + D = 0$$

Eigenvalue pbs, Control, MOR, Assignment pbs, Riccati eqn, (fract) PDEs

• Lyapunov matrix equation

$$A\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X}A^{\top} + D = 0, \qquad D = D^{\top}$$

Stability analysis in Control and Dynamical systems, Signal processing, eigenvalue computations, linear PDEs

• Generalized linear equations

 $A_1\mathbf{X}B_1 + A_2\mathbf{X}B_2 + \ldots + A_p\mathbf{X}B_p + D = 0$

Control, Stochastic PDEs, non-self-adjoint PDEs, ...

• Sylvester matrix equation

$$A\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X}B + D = 0$$

Eigenvalue pbs, Control, MOR, Assignment pbs, Riccati eqn, (fract) PDEs

• Lyapunov matrix equation

$$A\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X}A^{\top} + D = 0, \qquad D = D^{\top}$$

Stability analysis in Control and Dynamical systems, Signal processing, eigenvalue computations, linear PDEs

• Generalized linear equations

$$A_1\mathbf{X}B_1 + A_2\mathbf{X}B_2 + \ldots + A_p\mathbf{X}B_p + D = 0$$

Control, Stochastic PDEs, non-self-adjoint PDEs, ... Focus: All or some of the matrices are large (and possibly sparse) Linear systems vs linear matrix equations

Large linear systems:

$$Ax = b, \quad A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

- Krylov subspace methods (CG, MINRES, GMRES, BiCGSTAB, etc.)
- \bullet Preconditioners: find P such that

$$AP^{-1}\widetilde{x} = b$$
 $x = P^{-1}\widetilde{x}$

is easier and fast to solve

Linear systems vs linear matrix equations

Large linear systems:

$$Ax = b, \quad A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

- Krylov subspace methods (CG, MINRES, GMRES, BiCGSTAB, etc.)
- Preconditioners: find P such that

$$AP^{-1}\widetilde{x} = b \qquad x = P^{-1}\widetilde{x}$$

is easier and fast to solve

Large linear matrix equations:

$$AX + XA^{\top} + D = 0, \qquad D = BB^{\top}$$

- No preconditioning to preserve symmetry
- X is a large, dense matrix \Rightarrow low rank approximation

$$X\approx \widetilde{X}=ZZ^{\top},\quad Z \text{ tall}$$

Linear systems vs linear matrix equations

Large linear systems:

 $Ax = b, \quad A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

- Krylov subspace methods (CG, MINRES, GMRES, BiCGSTAB, etc.)
- Preconditioners: find P such that

$$AP^{-1}\widetilde{x} = b \qquad x = P^{-1}\widetilde{x}$$

is easier and fast to solve

Large linear matrix equations:

$$AX + XA^{\top} + BB^{\top} = 0$$

Kronecker formulation:

$$(A \otimes I + I \otimes A)x = b$$
 $x = \operatorname{vec}(X)$

Projection-type methods

Given an approximation space \mathcal{K} ,

 $X \approx X_m \quad \operatorname{col}(X_m) \in \mathcal{K}$ Galerkin condition: $R := AX_m + X_m A^\top + BB^\top \perp \mathcal{K}$ $V_m^\top R V_m = 0 \qquad \mathcal{K} = \operatorname{Range}(V_m)$

Projection-type methods

Given an approximation space \mathcal{K} ,

 $X \approx X_m \quad \operatorname{col}(X_m) \in \mathcal{K}$ Galerkin condition: $R := AX_m + X_m A^\top + BB^\top \perp \mathcal{K}$ $V_m^\top R V_m = 0 \qquad \mathcal{K} = \operatorname{Range}(V_m)$

Assume $V_m^{\top}V_m = I_m$ and let $X_m := V_m Y_m V_m^{\top}$. Projected Lyapunov equation:

$$V_m^{\top} (A V_m Y_m V_m^{\top} + V_m Y_m V_m^{\top} A^{\top} + B B^{\top}) V_m = 0$$

Projection-type methods

Given an approximation space \mathcal{K} ,

 $X \approx X_m \quad \operatorname{col}(X_m) \in \mathcal{K}$ Galerkin condition: $R := AX_m + X_m A^\top + BB^\top \perp \mathcal{K}$ $V_m^\top R V_m = 0 \qquad \mathcal{K} = \operatorname{Range}(V_m)$

Assume $V_m^{\top}V_m = I_m$ and let $X_m := V_m Y_m V_m^{\top}$. Projected Lyapunov equation:

$$V_m^{\top} (AV_m Y_m V_m^{\top} + V_m Y_m V_m^{\top} A^{\top} + BB^{\top}) V_m = 0$$

$$(V_m^{\top} A V_m) Y_m + Y_m (V_m^{\top} A^{\top} V_m) + V_m^{\top} BB^{\top} V_m = 0$$

Early contributions: Saad '90, Jaimoukha & Kasenally '94, for $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_m(A, B) = \text{Range}([B, AB, \dots, A^{m-1}B])$ More recent options as approximation space

Enrich space to decrease space dimension

• Extended Krylov subspace

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_m(A, B) + \mathcal{K}_m(A^{-1}, A^{-1}B),$$

that is, $\mathcal{K} = \text{Range}([B, A^{-1}B, AB, A^{-2}B, A^2, A^{-3}B, \dots,])$

(Druskin & Knizhnerman '98, Simoncini '07)

More recent options as approximation space

Enrich space to decrease space dimension

• Extended Krylov subspace

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_m(A, B) + \mathcal{K}_m(A^{-1}, A^{-1}B),$$

that is, $\mathcal{K} = \text{Range}([B, A^{-1}B, AB, A^{-2}B, A^2, A^{-3}B, \dots,])$ (Druskin & Knizhnerman '98, Simoncini '07)

• Rational Krylov subspace

 $\mathcal{K} = \text{Range}([B, (A - s_1 I)^{-1} B, \dots, (A - s_m I)^{-1} B])$

usually, $\{s_1,\ldots,s_m\}\subset \mathbb{C}^+$ chosen a-priori

More recent options as approximation space

Enrich space to decrease space dimension

• Extended Krylov subspace

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_m(A, B) + \mathcal{K}_m(A^{-1}, A^{-1}B),$$

that is, $\mathcal{K} = \text{Range}([B, A^{-1}B, AB, A^{-2}B, A^2, A^{-3}B, \dots,])$ (Druskin & Knizhnerman '98, Simoncini '07)

• Rational Krylov subspace

$$\mathcal{K} = \text{Range}([B, (A - s_1 I)^{-1} B, \dots, (A - s_m I)^{-1} B])$$

usually, $\{s_1, \ldots, s_m\} \subset \mathbb{C}^+$ chosen a-priori

In both cases, for $Range(V_m) = \mathcal{K}$, projected Lyapunov equation:

$$(V_m^{\top}AV_m)Y_m + Y_m(V_m^{\top}A^{\top}V_m) + V_m^{\top}BB^{\top}V_m = 0$$

 $X_m = V_m Y_m V_m^\top$

Rational Krylov Subspaces. A long tradition...

In general,

 $K_m(A, B, \mathbf{s}) = \text{Range}([(A - s_1 I)^{-1} B, (A - s_2 I)^{-1} B, \dots, (A - s_m I)^{-1} B])$

- Eigenvalue problems (Ruhe, 1984)
- Model Order Reduction (transfer function evaluation)
- In Alternating Direction Implicit iteration (ADI) for linear matrix equations

Other related matrix equations

More "exotic" linear matrix equations

• Sylvester-like

$$BX + f(X)A = C$$

typically (but not only!)

$$f(X) = \bar{X}, \quad f(X) = X^{\top}, \quad \text{or} \quad f(X) = X^*$$

(Bevis, Braden, Byers, Chiang, De Terán, Dopico, Duan, Feng, Guillery, Hall, Hartwig, Ikramov, Kressner, Montealegre, Reyes, Schröder, Vorntsov, Watkins, Wu, ...) The ⊤-Sylvester matrix equations

Solve for X:

$$AX + X^{\top}B = C, \qquad (*)$$

- ⇒ A unique solution exists for any $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ iff $A \lambda B^{\top}$ is regular and spec $(A, B^{\top}) \setminus \{1\}$ is reciprocal free (with 1 with at most algebraic multiplicity 1)
- ⇒ Small scale: Bartel-Stewart type algorithm

(De Teran, Dopico, 2011)

 \Rightarrow If X_0 is the unique solution to the *Sylvester* eqn

$$AXA^{\top} - B^{\top}XB = C - C^{\top}A^{-1}B$$

then X_0 is the unique solution to (*)

The large scale \top -Sylvester matrix equations

$$AX + X^{\top}B = C_1 C_2^{\top}, \qquad C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}, \ r \ll n$$

Find:

$$X \approx X_m = \mathcal{V}_m Y_m \mathcal{W}_m^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

Orthogonality (*Petrov-Galerkin*) condition:

$$\mathcal{W}_m^\top (AX_m + X_m^\top B - C_1 C_2^\top) \mathcal{W}_m = 0$$

(the orthogonality space is different from the approximation space) Reduced T-Sylvester equation:

$$(\mathcal{W}_m^{\top} A \mathcal{V}_m) Y_m + Y_m^{\top} (\mathcal{V}_m^{\top} B \mathcal{W}_m) = (\mathcal{W}_m^{\top} C_1) (\mathcal{W}_m^{\top} C_2)^{\top}$$

Key issue: Choice of $\mathcal{V}_m, \mathcal{W}_m$

The selection of $\mathcal{V}_m, \mathcal{W}_m$

Exploit the generalized Schur decomposition:

$$A = WT_A V^\top \quad \text{and} \quad B^\top = WT_B V^\top$$

(W, V orthogonal) from which

$$B^{-\top}A = V T_B^{-1}T_A V^{\top}$$
 and $B^{\top}V = WT_B$
 $B^{-\top}AV = V T_B^{-1}T_A$ and $B^{\top}V = WT_B$

Therefore:

 $\operatorname{Range}(\mathcal{V}_m) \quad \leftarrow \text{good approx to invariant subspaces of } B^{-\top}A$ $\operatorname{Range}(\mathcal{W}_m) = B^{\top}\operatorname{Range}(\mathcal{V}_m)$

The selection of $\mathcal{V}_m, \mathcal{W}_m$

Exploit the generalized Schur decomposition:

$$A = WT_AV^{\top}$$
 and $B^{\top} = WT_BV^{\top}$

(W, V orthogonal) from which

$$B^{-\top}A = V T_B^{-1}T_A V^{\top}$$
 and $B^{\top}V = WT_B$
 $B^{-\top}AV = V T_B^{-1}T_A$ and $B^{\top}V = WT_B$

Therefore:

 $\operatorname{Range}(\mathcal{V}_m) \quad \leftarrow \text{good approx to invariant subspaces of } B^{-\top}A$ $\operatorname{Range}(\mathcal{W}_m) = B^{\top}\operatorname{Range}(\mathcal{V}_m)$

 $\operatorname{Range}(\mathcal{V}_m) = \mathcal{K}_m(B^{-\top}A, B^{-\top}[C_1, C_2]), \qquad \operatorname{Range}(\mathcal{W}_m) = B^{\top}\operatorname{Range}(\mathcal{V}_m)$

The selection of $\mathcal{V}_m, \mathcal{W}_m$

 $\operatorname{Range}(\mathcal{V}_m) = \mathcal{K}_m(B^{-\top}A, B^{-\top}[C_1, C_2]), \qquad \operatorname{Range}(\mathcal{W}_m) = B^{\top}\operatorname{Range}(\mathcal{V}_m)$

Algorithmic considerations:

• Range $(\mathcal{W}_m) = \mathcal{K}_m(AB^{-\top}, [C_1, C_2])$ so that

 $\operatorname{Range}(C_1) \cup \operatorname{Range}(C_2) \subset \operatorname{Range}(\mathcal{W}_m)$

• If
$$C_1 = C_2$$
 then

$$\operatorname{Range}(\mathcal{V}_m) = \mathcal{K}_m(B^{-\top}A, B^{-\top}C_1)$$

• The role of A and B can be reversed

 $(A \to B^{\top}, B \to A^{\top}, C_1 \leftrightarrow C_2)$

Remark: Enriched spaces can be used...

Computational considerations

 $n = 10^4$. A and B: finite difference discretizations in $[0, 1]^2$ of

$$a(u) = (-\exp(-xy) u_x)_x + (-\exp(xy) u_y)_y + 100 x u_x + \gamma u$$

$$b(u) = -u_{xx} - u_{yy}, \qquad \gamma = 5 \cdot 10^4$$

$tol = 10^{-10}$	EK	BK	BK-TR	EK-SYLV
iterations	8	83	8	8
dim. approx. space	32	166	16	32
time (seconds)	1.7	58.1	0.7	2.4

BK-TR: Standard Krylov subspace, roles of A and B reversed All eigenvalues of $B^{-\top}A$ are well outside the unit circle

Computational considerations

 $n = 10^4$. A and B: finite difference discretizations in $[0, 1]^2$ of

$$a(u) = (-\exp(-xy) u_x)_x + (-\exp(xy) u_y)_y + 100 x u_x + \gamma u,$$

$$b(u) = -u_{xx} - u_{yy} + 100 x u_x, \qquad \gamma = 5 \cdot 10^4$$

$tol = 10^{-10}$	EK	BK*	BK-TR*	EK-SYLV*
iterations	29	100	100	100
dim. approx. space	116	200	200	400
time (seconds)	10.9	70.7	63.8	521.2

eigenvalues of $B^{-\top}A$ are now located inside and outside the unit circle

Conclusions

- Significant advances in solving large linear matrix equations
- Multiterm equations require additional efforts

References:

★ V. S., Computational methods for linear matrix equations,
(Survey) Submitted

available at www.dm.unibo.it/~simoncin

★ Froilan Dopico , Javier Gonzalez, Daniel Kressner and V. S. *Projection methods for large T-Sylvester equations*

EPFL-MATHICSE Tech.Rep. 20.2014, April 2014.