

**Sturm-Liouville Problems for an Abstract Differential Equation of Elliptic Type in UMD Spaces**

by M. Cheggag, A. Favini, R. Labbas, S. Maingot and A. Medeghri

In this talk we give some new results on Sturm-Liouville abstract problems for second order differential equations of elliptic type in UMD spaces. Existence, uniqueness and maximal regularity of the strict solution are proved using the celebrated Dore-Venni Theorem.

More precisely, we consider the second order abstract differential equation in  $X$

$$u''(x) + Au(x) - \omega u(x) = f(x), \quad \text{a.e. } x \in (0, 1), \quad (1)$$

together with the abstract boundary conditions of Sturm-Liouville type

$$u'(0) - Hu(0) = d_0, \quad u(1) = u_1. \quad (2)$$

where  $f \in L^p(0, 1; X)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$  ( $X$  being a complex  $X$  Banach space),  $d_0, u_1 \in X$ ,  $A, H$  are closed linear operators in  $X$  and  $\omega$  is some large positive number.

The particularity lies in the boundary condition containing operator  $H$ , which can be unbounded. This difficulty will require a precise study of a sum of two linear operators. To this end, we assume that:

1.  $X$  is a UMD space.
2.  $[0, +\infty[ \subset \rho(A_{\omega_0}), \mathbb{R}_- \subset \rho(H)$  and
 
$$\sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \left\| \lambda (A_{\omega_0} - \lambda I)^{-1} \right\|_{L(X)} < +\infty \text{ and } \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \left\| \lambda (H + \lambda I)^{-1} \right\|_{L(X)} < +\infty,$$
 (here  $\omega_0$  is some fixed positive number and  $A_{\omega_0} = A - \omega_0 I$ ).
3.  $\forall \lambda \geq \omega_0, \zeta \geq 0, (A - \lambda I)^{-1}(H + \zeta I)^{-1} = (H + \zeta I)^{-1}(A - \lambda I)^{-1}$ .
4.  $\exists C \geq 1, \exists \theta_A, \theta_H \in ]0, \pi[$  (with  $\frac{\theta_A}{2} + \theta_H \in ]0, \pi[$ ):

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall s \in \mathbb{R}, (H)^{is} \in L(X), (-A_{\omega_0})^{is} \in L(X) \text{ and} \\ \left\| (-A_{\omega_0})^{is} \right\|_{L(X)} \leq C e^{\theta_A |s|}, \left\| (H)^{is} \right\|_{L(X)} \leq C e^{\theta_H |s|}. \end{array} \right.$$

Then, our main result is the following:

**Theorem** *Under the previous assumptions and for  $\omega > 0$  large enough, the following assertions are equivalent*

1.  $d_0 \in (D(A), X)_{\frac{1}{2p} + \frac{1}{2}, p}, u_1 \in (D(A), X)_{\frac{1}{2p}, p}$ .
2. *Problem (1) and (2) has a strict solution  $u$ , that is  $u \in W^{2,p}(0, 1; X) \cap L^p(0, 1; D(A)), u(0) \in D(H)$  and  $u$  satisfies (1) and (2).*

*Moreover, in this case,  $u$  is uniquely determined by an explicit representation formula.*