



Weierstrass Institute for Applied Analysis and Stochastics
in Forschungsverbund Berlin e.V.

Pavel Krejčí

Uniqueness in nonlinearly coupled PDE systems
(joint work with Lucia Panizzi)

DICOP 08, Cortona, September 26, 2008



Model problem: surface hardening of steel

In a domain $Q_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ bounded and smooth, consider the system

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_t - \Delta \theta &= r(\theta, c) \\ c_t - \operatorname{div}(D(\theta, c) \nabla c) &= 0\end{aligned}$$

with boundary conditions on $\Sigma_T = \partial\Omega \times (0, T)$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \nu} + h(x, \theta, \theta_\Gamma(x, t)) &= 0 \\ -D(\theta, c) \frac{\partial c}{\partial \nu} &= b(x, t)\end{aligned}$$

and initial conditions $\theta(x, 0) = \theta^0(x)$, $c(x, 0) = c^0(x)$.

$\theta^0 \geq \theta_* > 0$, $\theta_\Gamma \geq \theta_*$, $b \in L^2(\Sigma_T)$, $c^0 \in L^2(\Omega)$... given data

$r \geq 0$, $D \in [d_0, d_1]$, $h(x, \cdot, \cdot)$... (smooth) constitutive functions

Existence and regularity I.

Assumption on h :

$$\exists a > 0 : \theta, \theta_\Gamma \geq \theta_* \implies h(x, \theta, \theta_\Gamma)(\theta - \theta_\Gamma) \geq a(\theta - \theta_\Gamma)^2.$$

Existence can be proved by Schauder argument.

Maximal expected regularity for c :

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla c &\in L^2(Q_T) \\ c_t &\in L^2(0, T; (H^1(\Omega))') \\ c &\in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \end{aligned}$$

Regularity for θ :

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_t, \Delta \theta &\in L^2(Q_T) \\ \theta &\geq \theta_* && \text{(maximum principle)} \\ \theta &\in L^\infty(Q_T) && \text{(Alikakos-Moser)} \end{aligned}$$

This is not enough for uniqueness !

Partial Kirchhoff transform

We introduce new functions

$$u = F(\theta, c) := \int_0^c D(\theta, c') dc' \iff c = G(\theta, u)$$

$$R(\theta, u) := r(\theta, G(\theta, u)) , \quad H(\theta, u) := \partial_\theta F(\theta, G(\theta, u)) , \quad U(x, t) := \int_0^t u(x, \tau) d\tau$$

We assume that F, G, R, H are Lipschitz, and H is bounded.

In terms of θ, U , the system of equations has the form

$$\int_{\Omega} (\theta_t \varphi + \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla \varphi - R(\theta, U_t) \varphi) dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} h(x, \theta, \theta_\Gamma(x, t)) \varphi dS = 0$$
$$\int_{\Omega} (G(\theta, U_t)_t \psi + \nabla U_t \cdot \nabla \psi - H(\theta, U_t) \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla \psi) dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x, t) \psi dS = 0$$

for every test functions $\varphi, \psi \in H^1(\Omega)$.

Uniqueness I.

Consider two solutions (θ_1, U_1) , (θ_2, U_2) , and set $\bar{\theta} = \theta_1 - \theta_2$, $\bar{U} = U_1 - U_2$.

Test the difference of the equations for θ_1 , θ_2 by $\varphi = \bar{\theta}$;

Integrate the equations for U_1 , U_2 **in time**, and test the difference by $\psi = \bar{U}_t$.

On the left hand side, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(|\bar{\theta}(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\nabla \bar{U}(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) + |\nabla \bar{\theta}(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\bar{U}_t(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$$

We omit the **good terms** on the right hand side that can be estimated by **Gronwall's** argument. The only **bad term** is the integral

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^t (H(\theta_1, (U_1)_t) \nabla \theta_1 - H(\theta_2, (U_2)_t) \nabla \theta_2) d\tau \right) \cdot \nabla \bar{U}_t(x, t) dx$$

We have to **integrate by parts in time** !

Uniqueness II.

Two bad terms remain:

$$(1) \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^t (H(\theta_1, (U_1)_t) \nabla \theta_1 - H(\theta_2, (U_2)_t) \nabla \theta_2) d\tau \right) \cdot \nabla \bar{U}(x, t) dx$$

$$(2) \int_{\Omega} (H(\theta_1, (U_1)_t) \nabla \theta_1 - H(\theta_2, (U_2)_t) \nabla \theta_2) \cdot \nabla \bar{U}(x, t) dx$$

We integrate in time. Omitting again the terms that can be handled via Gronwall, we obtain for the left hand side

$$|\bar{\theta}(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\nabla \bar{U}(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^t \left(|\nabla \bar{\theta}(\tau)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\bar{U}_t(\tau)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) d\tau$$

the upper bounds

$$(1)^* \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{U}(x, t)| \left(\int_0^t (|\bar{\theta}| + |\bar{U}_t|) |\nabla \theta_1|(x, \tau) d\tau \right) dx$$

$$(2)^* \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{U}| (|\bar{\theta}| + |\bar{U}_t|) |\nabla \theta_1|(x, \tau) dx d\tau$$

Regularity II.

A necessary and sufficient condition to continue the above uniqueness estimates reads

$$\nabla\theta \in L^2(0, T; L^\infty(\Omega))$$

It is proved by differentiating θ in tangential directions and using the boundary condition to check the regularity of the normal component.

- Boundary of Ω must be of class $C^{2,1}$
- Different regularity is obtained for the normal and for the tangential derivatives
- Anisotropic Sobolev embedding theorem

For a vector $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_N)$ we define the space $L^{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as the subspace of $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ of functions u with finite norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathbf{p}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\dots \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(x)|^{p_1} dx_1 \right)^{p_2/p_1} dx_2 \dots \right)^{p_N/p_{N-1}} dx_N \right)^{1/p_N}$$

Regularity III.

For a matrix $\mathbf{P} = (P_{ij})_{i,j=1}^N$, $P_{ij} = 1/p_{ij}$, we define the anisotropic Sobolev space

$$W^{1,\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) : \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in L^{\mathbf{P}_i}(\mathbb{R}^N), i = 1, \dots, N \right\}, \quad \mathbf{P}_i = (p_{i1}, \dots, p_{iN})$$

We denote by $\varrho(\mathbf{P})$ the spectral radius of \mathbf{P} , by \mathbf{I} the identity $N \times N$ matrix, and by $\mathbf{1}$ the vector $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$.

Theorem *Let $\varrho(\mathbf{P}) < 1$, and let*

$$(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})^{-1}\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_N).$$

Then $W^{1,\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compactly embedded in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that each $u \in W^{1,\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ has for all $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ the Hölder property

$$|u(z) - u(x)| \leq C \|u\|_{W^{1,\mathbf{P}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \sum_{i=1}^N |z_i - x_i|^{1/b_i}.$$

Uniqueness III.

In the uniqueness estimates with left hand side

$$|\bar{\theta}(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\nabla \bar{U}(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^t \left(|\nabla \bar{\theta}(\tau)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\bar{U}_t(\tau)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) d\tau$$

and right hand side

$$(1)^* \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{U}(x, t)| \left(\int_0^t (|\bar{\theta}| + |\bar{U}_t|) |\nabla \theta_1|(x, \tau) d\tau \right) dx$$

$$(2)^* \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{U}| (|\bar{\theta}| + |\bar{U}_t|) |\nabla \theta_1|(x, \tau) dx d\tau$$

we first use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

Uniqueness III.

In the uniqueness estimates with left hand side

$$|\bar{\theta}(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\nabla \bar{U}(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^t \left(|\nabla \bar{\theta}(\tau)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\bar{U}_t(\tau)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) d\tau$$

and right hand side

$$(1)^* |\nabla \bar{U}(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)} \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^t (|\bar{\theta}| + |\bar{U}_t|) |\nabla \theta_1|(x, \tau) d\tau \right)^2 dx \right)^{1/2},$$

$$(2)^* \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{U}| (|\bar{\theta}| + |\bar{U}_t|) |\nabla \theta_1|(x, \tau) dx d\tau,$$

we first use the **Cauchy-Schwarz inequality**

Uniqueness III.

In the uniqueness estimates with left hand side

$$|\bar{\theta}(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\nabla \bar{U}(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^t \left(|\nabla \bar{\theta}(\tau)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\bar{U}_t(\tau)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) d\tau$$

and right hand side

$$(1)^* \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^t (|\bar{\theta}| + |\bar{U}_t|) |\nabla \theta_1|(x, \tau) d\tau \right)^2 dx ,$$

$$(2)^* \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{U}| (|\bar{\theta}| + |\bar{U}_t|) |\nabla \theta_1|(x, \tau) dx d\tau ,$$

then the **Young inequality**

Uniqueness III.

In the uniqueness estimates with left hand side

$$|\bar{\theta}(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\nabla \bar{U}(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^t \left(|\nabla \bar{\theta}(\tau)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\bar{U}_t(\tau)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) d\tau$$

and right hand side

$$(1)^* \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^t (|\bar{\theta}| + |\bar{U}_t|) |\nabla \theta_1|(x, \tau) d\tau \right)^2 dx,$$

$$(2)^* \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{U}| (|\bar{\theta}| + |\bar{U}_t|) |\nabla \theta_1|(x, \tau) dx d\tau,$$

we finally eliminate again the terms subject to **Gronwall's** argument.

Uniqueness III.

In the uniqueness estimates with left hand side

$$|\bar{\theta}(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\nabla \bar{U}(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^t \left(|\nabla \bar{\theta}(\tau)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\bar{U}_t(\tau)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) d\tau$$

and right hand side

$$(1)^* \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^t (|\bar{\theta}| + |\bar{U}_t|) |\nabla \theta_1|(x, \tau) d\tau \right)^2 dx,$$

$$(2)^* \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{U}| (|\bar{\theta}| + |\bar{U}_t|) |\nabla \theta_1|(x, \tau) dx d\tau,$$

we finally eliminate again the terms subject to **Gronwall's** argument.

Uniqueness III.

In the uniqueness estimates with left hand side

$$|\bar{\theta}(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\nabla \bar{U}(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^t \left(|\nabla \bar{\theta}(\tau)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\bar{U}_t(\tau)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) d\tau$$

and right hand side

$$(1)^* \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^t (|\bar{\theta}| + |\bar{U}_t|) |\nabla \theta_1|(x, \tau) d\tau \right)^2 dx,$$

we finally eliminate again the terms subject to **Gronwall's** argument.

Uniqueness III.

In the uniqueness estimates with left hand side

$$|\bar{\theta}(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\nabla \bar{U}(t)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_0^t \left(|\nabla \bar{\theta}(\tau)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |\bar{U}_t(\tau)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) d\tau$$

and right hand side

$$(1)^* \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^t (|\bar{U}_t|) |\nabla \theta_1|(x, \tau) d\tau \right)^2 dx,$$

the remaining term is estimated using Minkowski's inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\int_0^t |\bar{U}_t| |\nabla \theta_1| d\tau \right)^2 dx \leq \left(\int_0^t \left(\int_{\Omega} |\bar{U}_t|^2 |\nabla \theta_1|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} d\tau \right)^2$$

Uniqueness IV.

The critical inequality has the form

$$\int_0^t |\bar{U}_t(\tau)|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 d\tau \leq \left(\int_0^t \gamma(\tau) |\bar{U}_t(\tau)|_{L^2(\Omega)} d\tau \right)^2$$

with a function $\gamma \in L^2(0, T)$: $\gamma(t) = C \sup_{\text{ess } x \in \Omega} |\nabla \theta_1(x, t)|$.

Lemma (L^p Gronwall inequality)

Let $s \in L^p(0, T)$, $\gamma \in L^{p'}(0, T)$, $\alpha \in L^\infty(0, T)$ be nonnegative functions such that

$$\left(\int_0^t s^p(\tau) d\tau \right)^{1/p} \leq \alpha(t) + \int_0^t \gamma(\tau) s(\tau) d\tau$$

for every $t \in [0, T]$, with a fixed $p > 1$. Then there exists $M_\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_0^T s^p(\tau) d\tau \right)^{1/p} \leq M_\gamma \sup_{[0, T]} \alpha.$$

Conclusions

- In coupled parabolic systems, lack of regularity in one equation can be compensated by a higher regularity in the other equation;
- Anisotropic Sobolev embeddings might be useful;
- Gronwall's inequality is not the only argument for uniqueness;
- These observations might be of interest also in other situations (Penrose-Fife with state dependent heat conductivity, say; In this case, the phase variable is the more regular one).