Mean field behaviour of spin systems with orthogonal interaction matrix

P. Contucci[(a)], S. Graffi[(a)], S. Isola[(b)]

[a)] Dipartimento di Matematica
Università di Bologna, 40127 Bologna, Italy

[b)] Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica dell'Università di Camerino and
Istituto Nazionale di Fisica della Materia, 62032 Camerino, Italy

E-mail: contucci@dm.unibo.it, graffi@dm.unibo.it, isola@campus.unicam.it

Abstract

For the long-range deterministic spin models with glassy behaviour of Marinari, Parisi and Ritort we prove weighted factorization properties of the correlation functions which represent the natural generalization of the Wick rules valid for the Curie-Weiss case.

1 Introduction and statement of the results

Mean field models in statistical mechanics are often introduced to provide a simplification of other more realistic ones. Their success is based upon the robust physical meaning of the involved approximation: each part of the system is considered to feel the action of the remaining ones through a mean effect which decreases with the total size of the system. The notions of finite cubes immersed in a d-dimensional lattice with Euclidean distance are then replaced by the complete graph plunged in an infinite dimensional lattice whose distance among points decreases uniformly with the size of the graph.

The simplest and most celebrated of those models is the Curie-Weiss one where the first theory of the ferromagnetism was built on a microscopic basis with the help of its exact solution. The expression *exact solution* has here a peculiarly strong meaning: not only the free energy density is computable in the thermodynamic limit but also the entire family of correlation functions. In fact it turns out that once the two point correlation function is known all the higher order correlations can be computed and expressed as linear combination of lower order products through the so called Wick rule after the thermodynamic limit is performed. The theory is said to have an order parameter, in this case the local magnetization, and the factorization property of the correlation functions (Wick rule) can be considered the mathematical description of a *mean field behaviour*.

In this paper we study the sine model defined by the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_N(\sigma) = -\sum_{i<j} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N+1}} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi ij}{2N+1}\right)\sigma_i\,\sigma_j \;, \tag{1.1}$$

or more generally a spin system with orthogonal interaction matrix. This class of models has been introduced by Marinari, Parisi and Ritort in [MPR] and subsequently studied in [DEGGI]. In the sequel we shall refer to them as MPR models. They probably provide the first example of long range spin models with non-random interactions with a genuine spin-glass low-temperature phase. On the other hand the Hamiltonian (1.1) shares with the Sherrington Kirkpatrick a mean field property since the interaction felt by each spin due to the remaining ones is in the average the same: in SK the local field is a Gaussian variable with zero average and unit variance. In this case the local field

$$h_i \;=\; \sum_{j} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N+1}} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi ij}{2N+1}\right)\sigma_j \tag{1.2}$$

can be considered in a natural way as a random variable uniformly distributed over the lattice $\mathbf{Z}_N := \mathbf{Z} \bmod N$ with zero average and unit variance.

Our main objective is to establish to what extent the mean field property of the Hamiltonian is reflected on the factorization properties of the correlation functions.

The main result of the paper is the natural generalization of the Wick rule valid for the Curie-Weiss case and can be expressed by the following

Proposition 1.1 *For every positive inverse temperature β except, at most, a set of zero Lebesgue measure, and in particular for every $\beta < 1$ the correlation functions fullfill the following relation:*

$$\left|\,\frac{1}{N^2}\sum J_{i,j}J_{l,m} < \sigma_i\sigma_j\sigma_l\sigma_m > - \frac{1}{N^2}\sum J_{i,j}J_{l,m} < \sigma_i\sigma_j >< \sigma_l\sigma_m >\right| \;=\; O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}) \tag{1.3}$$

where the sums run over non-coincident indices within each expectation.

Remarks:

1. A little algebra that uses translation invariance shows that the previous formula, when the interaction coefficients are set to be equal to $\frac{1}{N}$ (Curie-Weiss) gives the well known Wick rule $<\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_4>= 3<\sigma_1\sigma_2>^2$.

2. Higher order relations involving $2n$-points connected correlations, with $n \geq 1$, can be found, together with a more precise statement of the results in Sections 2 and 3.

3. It is perhaps worth to mention that a Wick-type factorization property is structurally different from the factorization property of pure states (see e.g. [MPV], III.1): the first describes the reduction of the Gibbs state to the two point correlation function like in the Gaussian case, the latter doesn't hold for the Gibbs state but only for the extremal states in which the former can be decomposed. In each of them there is a complete factorization of the correlation functions when the thermodynamic limit is performed.

Our strategy is the following: from the study of the fluctuations of the intensive quantities (basically the energy per particle) we deduce factorization properties for the correlation function that provide a Wick-like rule for our non translationally-invariant interactions. Similar results were obtained in [G] and [AC] for SK. We want to stress the fact that our approach doesn't rely on the computation of the solution of the model (still not available at least on rigorous grounds) but only on those bounds over the fluctuation of the energy coming from equivalence of ensembles (microcanonical and canonical) ideas. The main technical tool we use is the property of orthogonality of the interaction matrix: it allows us to show first the extensivity of the energy and second to produce the expected $1/\sqrt{N}$ bound on the fluctuations.

The paper is organized as follows: in the coming Section 2 we review the well known Wick factorization properties of the Curie-Weiss model through an analysis of the energy fluctuations and of the high temperature expansion. We want to stress the fact that all the results we present are obtained, including the existence of the thermodynamical limit, without making use of the exact solution of the model. In Section 3 we apply the same methods to the generalizations to the MPR models and we obtain a properly weighted Wick formula.

2 Remarks on the Curie-Weiss model

To warm up we begin this paper with a full description of the high-temperature ($\beta < 1$) regime of the Curie-Weiss model of statistical mechanics along with a discussion of the factorization properties of the correlation functions which can be obtained in this regime.

The basic setup is a probability space $(\Sigma_N, \mathcal{F}_N, \mathrm{P}_N)$ defined as follows: the sample space Σ_N is the configuration space, i.e. $\Sigma_N = \{-1, 1\}^N$ whose elements are sequences $\sigma = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_N$ such that $\sigma_i \in \{-1, 1\}$, $\mathcal{F}_N$ is the finite algebra with 2^{2^N} elements and the *a priori* (or *infinite-temperature*) probability measure P_N is given by

$$\mathrm{P}_N(C) = \frac{1}{2^N} \sum_{\sigma \in C} 1. \tag{2.4}$$

We shall consider systems specified by a global pair interaction Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_N(\sigma) = - \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} J_{ij}\, \sigma_i\, \sigma_j \tag{2.5}$$

where $J = (J_{ij})$ is a symmetric nonnegative definite $N \times N$ matrix given from the outset. The simplest example is the so called Curie-Weiss model, defined by $J_{ij} \equiv 1/N$. The partition function Z_N at inverse temperature β is defined as

$$Z_N(\beta) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_N} \exp\left(-\beta\, \mathcal{H}_N(\sigma)\right) = 2^N\, \mathrm{E}_N(e^{-\beta \mathcal{H}_N}). \tag{2.6}$$

The Hamiltonian for the Curie-Weiss model is then given by

$$\mathcal{H}_N(\sigma) = -\frac{1}{2N} \left(\sum_i \sigma_i \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} = -\frac{1}{2N} \mathcal{M}_N^2(\sigma) + \frac{1}{2} \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_N(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_i \tag{2.8}$$

is the total magnetization and the $1/2$ comes from the fact that we are not allowing self-interactions (there are no terms with $i = j$ in (2.5)). In particular we have the bounds

$$-\frac{1}{2}(N-1) \le H(\sigma) \le \frac{1}{2}, \tag{2.9}$$

the ground state σ^0 being that maximizing the magnetization, i.e. $\mathcal{M}_N(\sigma^0) = N$.

An important yet not well known fact about the Curie-Weiss model is that the existence of its thermodynamical limit can be proved as a consequence of the subadditivity of the free energy density, indipendently of its exact solution and using only the bounds on the energy.

Proposition 2.2 *For every positive integer* k

$$\frac{1}{kN}\log Z_{kN} \;\leq\; \frac{1}{N}\log Z_N \tag{2.10}$$

Proof. We show the formula for $k = 2$; the general case runs identically. The main ingredient is a lemma that can be proved by an easy combinatorial counting argument:

Lemma 2.1 *Let* P_N *be the number of ways in which the set of* $2N$ *indices* $1, 2, \cdots, 2N$ *can be split into two sets of* N *indices and let call* $\mathcal{P}_N$ *the set of bipartitions,* $P_N = |\mathcal{P}_N|$*. Then the following identity holds:*

$$H_{2N} \;=\; \frac{1}{P_{2N}}\sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}_N}\left(H^l_N(p) \;+\; H^r_N(p)\right) \tag{2.11}$$

where l *and* r *stands for the left and right side of the bipartition* p*.*

Introducing the uniform probability measure $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathcal{P}_N$ and using the Jensen inequality we may apply the Griffiths symmetrization argument to get

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{2N} &= \sum \exp^{-\beta H_{2N}} \\ &= \sum \exp^{-\beta\mathcal{E}(H^l_N+H^r_N)} \\ &\leq \sum \mathcal{E}\left[\exp^{-\beta\mathcal{E}(H^l_N+H^r_N)}\right] \\ &= \mathcal{E}(Z^2_N) \;=\; Z^2_N \qquad\qquad \diamondsuit \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

We want to show now how to use the equivalence of ensemble theory to prove a factorization property of the 4-points correlation function. From the equivalence of the canonical and the microcanonical ensemble we know that the energy density of the Curie-Weiss model $h_N = \mathcal{H}_N/N$ has vanishing fluctuations in the Gibbs state when the thermodynamic limit is performed. In particular the quadratic fluctuations for almost all β (but for a set of zero Lebesgue measure) are ruled by:

$$| < h^2_N > - < h_N >^2 | = \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{N}) \tag{2.13}$$

An easy computation using the explicit form of the Hamiltonian shows that the former relation becomes, once the limit is considered and the translation invariance has been taken into account (see also below):

$$< \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_4 > = \; 3 < \sigma_1\sigma_2 >^2 \tag{2.14}$$

which expresses the vanishing of the 4-points truncated correlations. This result comes from general facts about the Gibbs state and holds for almost all temperatures. On the other hand it can be improved to hold for all high temperatures, where one can also get similar results for more general $2n$-points truncated correlations, with $n \geq 2$. To this purpose we can compute the partition function on that regime (see e.g.[Th]) by first decoupling the spins in the Hamiltonian through the elementary identity:

$$e^{\,a^2 b} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2} + \sqrt{2b}\; ax\right) dx, \tag{2.15}$$

with the identifications $a = \mathcal{M}_N(\sigma)$ and $b = \beta/2N$. Since

$$\sum_{\sigma\in\Sigma_N} \exp\left(\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{N}}\mathcal{M}_N(\sigma)\, x\right) = 2^N \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{N}}x\right)\right]^N, \tag{2.16}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Z_N(\beta) &= 2^N \frac{e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2/2} \left[\cosh\left(\sqrt{\frac{\beta}{N}}x\right)\right]^N dx \\ &= 2^N e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{N}{2\pi\beta}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left\{N\left[-\frac{y^2}{2\beta} + \log\cosh y\right]\right\} dy. \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

This formula immediately leads to the following result.

Proposition 2.3 *For $0 \leq \beta < 1$ we have*

$$-\,\beta\, F(\beta) \equiv \log Z_N(\beta) = N\log 2\, + G_N(\beta), \tag{2.18}$$

where

$$G_N(\beta) \nearrow \sum_{k\geq 2} \frac{\beta^k}{2k} = -\frac{\beta}{2} - \log\sqrt{1-\beta} \quad \textit{as} \quad N \to \infty. \tag{2.19}$$

Remark 1 *Note that if one includes self-interactions in (2.5), namely for an Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_N(\sigma) = -2N^{-1}\left(\sum_i \sigma_i\right)^2$, the resulting limiting function is just $-\log\sqrt{1-\beta}$.*

Proof. Using (2.16) with $(\cosh x)^N = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^{2k} \sum_{k_1+\cdots+k_N=k} \prod_{l=1}^{k} \frac{1}{(2k_l)!}$ and observing that the combinatorial factor is $\binom{N}{k}/(2^k N^k) = 1/(2^k k!) + O(\frac{1}{N})$ we get (with two successive change of variables $u^2 = 2x$ and $y = (1-\beta)x$, and $\beta < 1$)

$$Z_N(\beta) = 2^N e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{2\pi}} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-(x+\frac{1}{2})} \sum_0^{\infty} \frac{\beta^k}{k!} x^k x^{-\frac{1}{2}} dx \,+\, O(\frac{1}{N}) \tag{2.20}$$

$$= 2^N \frac{e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}}{\sqrt{1-\beta}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-y} y^{-\frac{1}{2}} dy \,+\, O(\frac{1}{N}) \tag{2.21}$$

which gives the theorem with the observation $\Gamma(0) = \sqrt{\pi}$. $\diamondsuit$

We will now deal with the limiting behaviour of the energy density and connected correlations at high temperature deriving some easy consequences of the above result. For notational simplicity' sake let $< \cdot >$ denote the thermal average corresponding to fixed β and N[1], i.e. given $A : \Sigma_N \to I\!R$,

$$< A > = \frac{\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_N} A(\sigma) \exp\left(-\beta \mathcal{H}_N(\sigma)\right)}{Z_N(\beta)}. \tag{2.22}$$

Define moreover the energy density

$$h_N(\sigma) = \frac{\mathcal{H}_N(\sigma)}{N}, \tag{2.23}$$

which, by (2.9), takes values in the interval $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2N}]$, and consider its distribution function at (inverse) temperature β,

$$F_N(t) =< \chi_{\{h_N \leq t\}} > . \tag{2.24}$$

The following expression for the Laplace transform, or characteristic function, of F_N is easily obtained from (2.6) and (2.22):

$$\varphi_N(\lambda) = \int e^{-\lambda t}\, dF_N(t) =< e^{-\lambda h_N} >= \frac{Z_N\left(\beta + \frac{\lambda}{N}\right)}{Z_N(\beta)}. \tag{2.25}$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 2.3 and the mean value theorem we have that, for $0 \leq \beta < 1$ and N large enough

$$\frac{Z_N\left(\beta + \frac{\lambda}{N}\right)}{Z_N(\beta)} = \exp\left(\frac{\lambda}{N} G'_N(\beta^*)\right) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\lambda\, N^{-1}) \tag{2.26}$$

for some β^* such that $0 \leq \beta^* - \beta \leq \lambda/N$. We may now use a well known theorem of probability theory (see e.g. [S]) which says that F_N converges weakly to F if and only if $\varphi_N(\lambda) \to \varphi(\lambda)$ for any λ (where $\varphi(\lambda)$ is the characteristic function of F). Noting that $\varphi(\lambda) = 1$ is the characteristic function of the distribution function $G(t) = \chi_{[0,\infty)}(t)$ we have obtained the following result

Proposition 2.4 *For $0 \leq \beta < 1$ and $N \to \infty$ the energy densities h_N converge in distribution to a random variable h which is δ-distributed at $x = 0$.*

[1] A more consistent notation would be $\mathrm{E}_{N,\beta}(\cdot)$, so that $\mathrm{E}_N(\cdot) \equiv \mathrm{E}_{N,0}(\cdot)$.

Moreover, since the range of h is the interval $[-1/2, 1/2N]$, the random variables h_N^n are uniformly integrable for each $n \in I\!N$, i.e. for some $\epsilon > 0$

$$\sup_N < |h_N|^{n+\epsilon} > \; < \; \infty. \tag{2.27}$$

By another well known theorem of probability theory (see [S]) the bound (2.27) along with Proposition 2.4 imply that

$$< h_N^n > \to < h^n >, \qquad N \to \infty, \quad n \in I\!N. \tag{2.28}$$

But we can say more. Indeed, by virtue of (2.27) the expansion of the function $\varphi_N(\lambda)$ in powers of λ, i.e.

$$\varphi_N(\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} < h_N^n >, \tag{2.29}$$

converges absolutely in a domain of the complex λ-plane which contains the point $\lambda = 0$ and can be taken independent of N. Therefore, a standard Cauchy-type estimate along with (2.26) yield

$$| < h_N^n > - < h^n > | = \mathcal{O}(C\, N^{-1}) \tag{2.30}$$

where C is a positive constant depending on n but not on N. On the other hand we have, as $N \to \infty$,

$$- < h_N >= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i<j} < \sigma_i \sigma_j >= \frac{N(N-1)}{2N^2} < \sigma_1 \sigma_2 > \to \frac{< \sigma_1 \sigma_2 >}{2}. \tag{2.31}$$

Moreover, when computing

$$< h_N^2 >= \frac{1}{N^4} \sum_{i<j,\; l<k} < \sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_l \sigma_k >,$$

the only terms which survive in the limit $N \to \infty$ are those having all the indices distinct. These can be further divided into two classes corresponding to $j < l$ and $j > l$, respectively. The first class contains all ordered quadruples with $i < j < l < k$ and has therefore $N(N-1)(N-2)(N-3)/4!$ terms. By symmetry the second one has the same number of terms. Hence we get

$$< h_N^2 > \to \frac{< \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3 \sigma_4 >}{12}, \quad N \to \infty. \tag{2.32}$$

Now by Proposition 2.4 we have that $< h >= 0$ and $\mathrm{Var}\, h =< h^2 > - < h >^2 = 0$. Putting together these facts and (2.28), (2.31), (2.32) we recover the 'Wick rule' (2.14). Notice that we can write

$$< h_N >= -\frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{\partial \log Z_N}{\partial \beta} \right) = -\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i<j} < \sigma_i \sigma_j >_c$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
<\sigma_i\sigma_j>_c &= <\sigma_i\sigma_j> - <\sigma_i><\sigma_j> \\
&= <\sigma_1\sigma_2> - <\sigma_1>^2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Var}\, h_N &= <h_N^2> - <h_N>^2 = \frac{1}{N^2}\left(\frac{\partial^2 \log Z_N}{\partial\beta^2}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{N^4}\sum_{i<j,\, l<k} <\sigma_i\sigma_j\sigma_l\sigma_k>_c,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
<\sigma_i\sigma_j\sigma_l\sigma_k>_c = <\sigma_i\sigma_j\sigma_l\sigma_k> &- <\sigma_i\sigma_j><\sigma_l\sigma_k> - <\sigma_i\sigma_l><\sigma_j\sigma_k> - \\
&- <\sigma_i\sigma_k><\sigma_l\sigma_j> = <\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_4> -3<\sigma_1\sigma_2>^2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here and above we have used the fact that for symmetric interactions as in (3.36) it always true that $<\sigma_i>=0$ (otherwise we would have had to write 15 terms!). More generally, for $n < N/2$ we can write the n-th *moment* of h_N as

$$
\begin{aligned}
<h_N^n> &= \frac{(-1)^n}{Z_N\, N^n}\left(\frac{\partial^n Z_N}{\partial\beta^n}\right) \\
&= \frac{(-1)^n}{N^{2n}}\sum_{i_1<j_1,\cdots,i_n<j_n} <\sigma_{i_1}\cdots\sigma_{i_n}\sigma_{j_1}\cdots\sigma_{j_n}> .
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.33}
$$

The n-th *cumulant* is then defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
<h_N^n>_c &:= \frac{(-1)^n}{N^n}\left(\frac{\partial^n \log Z_N}{\partial\beta^n}\right) \\
&= \frac{(-1)^n}{N^{2n}}\sum_{i_1<j_1,\cdots,i_n<j_n} <\sigma_{i_1}\cdots\sigma_{i_n}\sigma_{j_1}\cdots\sigma_{j_n}>_c,
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.34}
$$

where $<\sigma_{i_1}\cdots\sigma_{i_n}\sigma_{j_1}\cdots\sigma_{j_n}>_c$ is called the $2n$-points *connected* (or *truncated*) correlation, and is defined recursively by

$$
<\sigma_{i_1}\cdots\sigma_{i_n}\sigma_{j_1}\cdots\sigma_{j_n}>_c = <\sigma_{i_1}\cdots\sigma_{i_n}\sigma_{j_1}\cdots\sigma_{j_n}> - \sum_{\substack{\text{partitions of}\\ i_1,j_1,\ldots,i_n,j_n}} \left[\begin{array}{l}\text{products of } m\text{-points}\\ \text{connected correlations}\\ \text{with } m<2n\end{array}\right] .
$$

While the moments $<h_N^n>$ are somehow redundant in that they carry information on correlations among k spins with $k \le n$ (so that part of this information is already stored in lower order moments), the cumulants $<h_N^n>_c$ carry only the new information concerning n spins. Using once more the fact that h_N^n is uniformly integrable, Proposition (2.4) and (2.30) we see that $<h_N^n>_c = \mathcal{O}(C\,N^{-1})$ for each fixed $n \in I\!N$ and $N \to \infty$. We have therefore proved the following

Proposition 2.5 *For $0 \leq \beta < 1$ and for each $n > 1$ we can find a positive constant $C = C(n)$ so that*

$$< \sigma_{i_1} \cdots \sigma_{i_n} \sigma_{j_1} \cdots \sigma_{j_n} >_c = \mathcal{O}(C\, N^{-1}) \tag{2.35}$$

as $N \to \infty$.

3 The orthogonal model

We shall now extend the results obtained in the previous Section to a more interesting class of (non-translationally invariant) interactions

$$\mathcal{H}_N(\sigma) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,\, j \in \mathbf{Z}_N^2} J_{ij}\, \sigma_i\, \sigma_j \tag{3.36}$$

where $\mathbf{Z}_N$ is the integer lattice $\mathbf{Z}_N = \mathbf{Z} \bmod N$ and $J = (J_{ij})$ is a symmetric real orthogonal $N \times N$ matrix, i.e. of the form $J = OLO^T$ with L a diagonal matrix whose elements are ± 1 and O a generic orthogonal matrix chosen at random w.r.t. the Haar measure on the orthogonal group. The knowledge of the eigenvalues of J imposes simple bounds on the energy of any spin configuration. Here, due to orthogonality, the possible eigenvalues are $+1, -1$ so that

$$-\frac{N}{2} \leq \mathcal{H}_N(\sigma) \leq \frac{N}{2}. \tag{3.37}$$

An important example for our purposes is given by the *sine model* where

$$J_{i,j} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2N+1}} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi i j}{2N+1}\right) \tag{3.38}$$

which satisfies

$$J\, J^T = \mathrm{Id} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} J_{ii} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} J_{ii}^2 = 1. \tag{3.39}$$

Remark 2 *One might also consider interaction matrices with zero diagonal terms, recovering orthogonality in large N limit. This amounts to consider the shifted Hamiltonian*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_N(\sigma) = \mathcal{H}_N(\sigma) + \frac{1}{2}, \tag{3.40}$$

so that the average energy is equal to zero (instead of $-1/2$), and may be convenient for particular purposes (see also Remark 1).

3.1 Mean field properties at any temperature: the second order

The results of this section are for a choice of the matrix J known as *sine model* defined by

$$J_{i,j} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2N+1}} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi ij}{2N+1}\right) . \tag{3.41}$$

Proposition 3.6 *Let indicate with $D_r(N)$ the* fat diagonal *of dimension r i.e. the set of points of $\mathbf{Z}_N$ in which at least two of the indices coincide. Let the bar indicate the complementary set $\overline{D_N^r}$. For every positive inverse temperature β except, at most, a set of zero Lebesgue measure, the Gibbs state $<>$ expectations fulfill the following relation:*

$$\Bigg| \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j,l,m \in \overline{D_4(N)}} J_{i,j} J_{l,m} < \sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_l \sigma_m > -$$

$$- \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j \in \overline{D_2(N)};\, l,m \in \overline{D_2(N)}} J_{i,j} J_{l,m} < \sigma_i \sigma_j >< \sigma_l \sigma_m > \Bigg| \; = \; O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}})$$

Conceptually the proof relies on the equivalence of the microcanonical and canonical ensemble which in one of its formulations says that the energy density has vanishing fluctuations with respect to the Gibbs measure in the thermodynamical limit. The theorem is structured in several lemmata:

Lemma 3.2 *For every positive temperature β but at most a set of zero Lebesgue measure, the internal energy density has zero quadratic fluctuations, i.e.*

$$| < h_N^2 > - < h_N >^2 | = \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{N}) \tag{3.42}$$

Proof By the definition of free energy density

$$- \beta f_N(\beta) \; = \; \frac{1}{N} \log \sum_{\sigma} \exp^{-\beta H(\sigma)} \; , \tag{3.43}$$

$$\frac{d}{d\beta}(\beta f_N(\beta)) \; = < h_N > ; \tag{3.44}$$

$$\frac{d^2}{d\beta^2}(\beta f_N(\beta)) \; = \; -N(< h_N^2 > - < h_N >^2) \; ; \tag{3.45}$$

The function $\beta f_N(\beta)$ is bounded and convex with bounded derivative (the boundedness comes from the bounds on the Hamiltonian [DEGGI] due to orthogonality and convexity, and is proved on very general grounds in [R]). The $N \to \infty$ limit of $\beta f_N(\beta)$, which again by convexity always exists, at least along subsequences [R], is itself convex and has always right and left derivatives which coincide except at most on a countable set of points. Integrating the (3.45) in any β interval the positivity of the left hand side and the fundamental theorem of calculus yield the lemma.

Lemma 3.3 *Case $i = j$; for the sine interaction J the following result holds:*

$$|\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,l,m} J_{i,i} J_{l,m} < \sigma_l \sigma_m > | \ \leq \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \tag{3.46}$$

Proof

$$|\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,l,m} J_{i,i} J_{l,m} < \sigma_l \sigma_m > | = |\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{k,l} J_{l,k} < \sigma_l \sigma_m > | \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \ ; \tag{3.47}$$

where the first equality comes from orthogonality which entails $\sum_i J_{i,i} = 1$, and the second the inequality from the sup bounds $J_{i,j} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$, $< \sigma_l \sigma_m > \leq 1$

Lemma 3.4 *Case $j = l$; for the sine interaction J the following result holds:*

$$\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j,m} J_{i,j} J_{j,m} < \sigma_i \sigma_m > = \ \frac{1}{N} \tag{3.48}$$

Proof

$$\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j,m} J_{i,j} J_{j,m} < \sigma_i \sigma_m > = \ \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,m} \delta_{i,m} < \sigma_i \sigma_m > = \ \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i} 1 \ = \ \frac{1}{N} \ .\diamondsuit \tag{3.49}$$

Proof of Proposition 2.1

Defining

$$A \ = \ \left| \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,l,m} J_{i,i} J_{l,m} < \sigma_l \sigma_m > \right| \ , \tag{3.50}$$

and

$$B \ = \ \left| \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j,m} J_{i,j} J_{j,m} < \sigma_i \sigma_m > \right| \ , \tag{3.51}$$

we have

$$\Big| \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j,l,m \in \overline{D_4(N)}} J_{i,j} J_{l,m} < \sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_l \sigma_m > -$$

$$\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i,j \in \overline{D_2(N)};\, l,m \in \overline{D_2(N)}} J_{i,j} J_{l,m} < \sigma_i \sigma_j >< \sigma_l \sigma_m > \Big|$$

$$\leq | < h_N^2 > - < h_N >^2 | + 6A + 4B \; . \tag{3.52}$$

The previous lemmata provide the claim.

3.2 High temperature expansion of the free energy for the orthogonal model

We can now try to mimic the procedure used in the previous to decouple the spins. To this end, let B be an orthogonal matrix such that $B^T J B = D$ with $D = \mathrm{diag}\,(d_1, \ldots, d_N)$. Since $\det J \neq 0$ we have $d_i > 0$, $i = 1, \ldots, N$, and $\det J^{-1} = \prod_i d_i^{-1}$. Let $u \in I\!R^N$ be such that $\sigma = Bu$. We have $< J\sigma, \sigma > = < Bu, JBu > = < u, Du >$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\exp(\frac{\lambda}{2N} < J\sigma, \sigma >) &= \prod_{i=1}^N \exp(\frac{\lambda}{2N} d_i u_i^2) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{x_i^2}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda d_i}{N}}\; u_i x_i \right) dx_i \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{N/2}} \int_{I\!R^N} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} < x, x > + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{N}}\; < u, D^{1/2} x > \right) dx \\
&= \frac{\det J^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\pi\lambda)^{N/2}} \int_{I\!R^N} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\lambda} < y, J^{-1} y > + < \sigma, \frac{y}{\sqrt{N}} > \right) dy.
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.6) this yields

$$Z_N(\beta) = 2^N \frac{\det J^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\pi\lambda)^{N/2}} \int_{I\!R^N} \exp\Big(-\frac{1}{2\lambda} < y, J^{-1} y > + + \sum_i \log\cosh \frac{y_i}{\sqrt{N}} \Big)\, dy \tag{3.53}$$

to be compared with (2.17). We point out that the square roots appearing in the above formula are only apparently ill defined. Indeed they disappear as soon as one takes its development in powers of β, because the latter contains only even terms. $Z_N(\beta)$ has been computed by Parisi and Potters in [PP] using standard high-temperature techniques. Relying on their computation we are now in the position to state a result analogous to Proposition 2.3 for this class of models.

Proposition 3.7 *For $0 \le \beta < 1$ and for any orthogonal interaction we have*

$$-\,\beta\, F(\beta) \equiv \log Z_N(\beta) = N \log 2\, + N G_N(\beta), \tag{3.54}$$

where

$$G_N(\beta) \nearrow G(\beta) = \frac{1}{4}\left[\sqrt{1+4\beta^2} - \log\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4\beta^2}}{2}\right) - 1\right] \quad as \quad N \to \infty. \tag{3.55}$$

3.3 Limiting behaviour and connected correlations at high temperature for the orthogonal model

We start noticing that the function $G(\beta)$ defined in Proposition 3.7 has the following expansion in the vicinity of $\beta = 0$:

$$G(\beta) = \frac{\beta^2}{4} + \mathcal{O}(\beta^3) \tag{3.56}$$

which, by the way, coincides with what one obtains for the SK model if truncated after the first term. Moreover, according to Proposition 3.7 and with the same notation of the previous section, we have

$$< e^{-\lambda h_N} >= \frac{Z_N\left(\beta + \frac{\lambda}{N}\right)}{Z_N(\beta)} = \exp\left(\lambda\, G'_N(\beta^*)\right) \tag{3.57}$$

for some β^* such that $0 \le \beta^* - \beta \le \lambda/N$. We now observe that according to (3.54) we have

$$< h_N >= -\frac{1}{N}\left(\frac{\partial \log Z_N}{\partial \beta}\right) = -G'_N(\beta) \tag{3.58}$$

and since $< h_N >$ is bounded uniformly in N property (3.55) implies

$$< h_N > \to < h >= G'(\beta) = \frac{\beta}{1+\sqrt{1+4\beta^2}} \quad \text{as} \quad N \to \infty. \tag{3.59}$$

Therefore, if we fix $\beta \in [0, 1)$ and expand the r.h.s. of (3.57) in a neighborhood of β^* we obtain for N large enough

$$< e^{-\lambda h_N} >= e^{\lambda G'(\beta)}\left(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\lambda^2}{N}\right)\right). \tag{3.60}$$

Note that $G'(0) = 0$, so that at infinite temperature ($\beta = 0$) we recover the same result as in Proposition 2.4 for this class of models (see also [DEGGI], Section 3). More generally we have the following,

Proposition 3.8 *For $0 \le \beta < 1$ and $N \to \infty$ the energy densities h_N converge in distribution to a random variable h which is δ-distributed at $x = -G'(\beta)$.*

Mimicking again the argument of the Curie-Weiss case we introduce the n-th moment

$$\begin{aligned} < h_N^n > &= \frac{(-1)^n}{Z_N\, N^n}\left(\frac{\partial^n Z_N}{\partial\beta^n}\right) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{(2N)^n}\sum_{\substack{i_1,\cdots,i_n\in\mathbf{Z}_N^n\\ j_1,\cdots,j_n\in\mathbf{Z}_N^n}} J_{i_1j_1}\cdots J_{i_nj_n} < \sigma_{i_1}\cdots\sigma_{i_n}\sigma_{j_1}\cdots\sigma_{j_n} > \end{aligned} \tag{3.61}$$

and the n-th cumulant

$$\begin{aligned} < h_N^n >_c &= \frac{(-1)^n}{N^n}\left(\frac{\partial^n \log Z_N}{\partial\beta^n}\right) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{(2N)^n}\sum_{\substack{i_1,\cdots,i_n\in\mathbf{Z}_N^n\\ j_1,\cdots,j_n\in\mathbf{Z}_N^n}} J_{i_1j_1}\cdots J_{i_nj_n} < \sigma_{i_1}\cdots\sigma_{i_n}\sigma_{j_1}\cdots\sigma_{j_n} >_c \end{aligned} \tag{3.62}$$

where $< \sigma_{i_1}\cdots\sigma_{i_n}\sigma_{j_1}\cdots\sigma_{j_n} >_c$ is defined as above (see 2.34 and the subsequent formula). We may now use Proposition 3.8 to conclude that the cumulants vanish in the thermodynamic limit. However, at variance with the (translationally invariant) Curie-Weiss model where summing over the indices $i_1,\cdots,i_n,j_1,\cdots,j_n$ produces only an overall combinatorial factor, so that the vanishing of the n-th cumulant can be immediately translated into the vanishing of $2n$-points connected correlations, here we have to be content with the following result,

Proposition 3.9 *For any orthogonal interaction and* $0\le\beta<1$ *we can find a positive constant* $C=C(n)$ *so that as* $N\to\infty$

$$\frac{1}{N^n}\sum_{\substack{i_1,\cdots,i_n\in\mathbf{Z}_N^n\\ j_1,\cdots,j_n\in\mathbf{Z}_N^n}} J_{i_1j_1}\cdots J_{i_nj_n} < \sigma_{i_1}\cdots\sigma_{i_n}\sigma_{j_1}\cdots\sigma_{j_n} >_c = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{C}{N}\right). \tag{3.63}$$

We are in a position to specify the previous proposition into a Wick like formula by showing that for each expectation only the terms outside the *fat diagonal* give a contribution into the sums. For this purpose we can prove the following:

Proposition 3.10 *For the sine interaction* $0\le\beta<1$ *we can find a positive constant* $C=C(n)$ *so that as* $N\to\infty$

$$\frac{1}{N^n}\sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_n,j_1,\cdots,j_n\in\overline{D_{2n}(N)}^*} J_{i_1j_1}\cdots J_{i_nj_n} < \sigma_{i_1}\cdots\sigma_{i_n}\sigma_{j_1}\cdots\sigma_{j_n} >_c = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{C}{N}\right)$$

where

$$\overline{D_{2n}(N)}^* = \otimes_{k=1}^n \overline{D_n(N)}$$

Proof. By induction over $n \geq 2$. For $n = 2$ the proof is come from the lemmata 3.3 and 3.4. The inductive arguments follow from the following:

Lemma 3.5 *For the sine interaction J the following result holds:*

$$\left| \quad \frac{1}{N^n} \sum_{\substack{i_1,\cdots,i_n \in \mathbf{Z}_N^n \\ j_1,\cdots,j_n \in \mathbf{Z}_N^n, s=t}} J_{i_1 j_1} \cdots J_{i_n j_n} < \sigma_{i_1} \cdots \sigma_{i_n} \sigma_{j_1} \cdots \sigma_{j_n} >_c \right| \quad \leq$$

$$\frac{1}{N} \left| \quad \frac{1}{N^{n-1}} \sum_{\substack{i_1,\cdots,i_{n-1} \in \mathbf{Z}_N^{n-1} \\ j_1,\cdots,j_{n-1} \in \mathbf{Z}_N^{n-1}}} J_{i_1 j_1} \cdots J_{i_{n-1} j_{n-1}} < \sigma_{i_1} \cdots \sigma_{i_{n-1}} \sigma_{j_1} \cdots \sigma_{j_{n-1}} >_c \right|. \quad (3.64)$$

Proof. Case $s = i_l$ and $t = j_l$: like in the second order case the inequality comes from the orthogonality property $\sum_{i_l} J_{i_l i_l} = 1$ and from $< \prod_A \sigma > \leq < \prod_B \sigma >$ for $B \subset A$. Case $i_l = j_s$ (or $i_l = i_s$ or $j_l = j_s$): the sum on i_l is performed using orthogonality $\sum_{i_l} J_{s i_l} J_{i_l t} = \delta_{s,t}$, the extra σ is bounded as in the above lemma.

The previous lemma together with the proposition (3.8) gives the proposition (3.10).

Acknowledgments One of us (PC) thanks Francesco Guerra for many enlightening discussions.

References

[AC] M.Aizenman, P.Contucci, On the Stability of the Quenched state in Mean Field Spin Glass Models, *J. Stat. Phys.* , **92**, (1998), 765-783.

[DEGGI] M Degli Esposti, C Giardinà, S Graffi, S Isola, Statistics of Energy Levels at Zero Temperature Dynamics for Deterministic Spin Models with Glassy Behaviour, *J. Stat. Phys.* **102** (2001), 1285-1313.

[G] F.Guerra, About the overlap distibution in a mean field spin glass model, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **B10** (1996) 1675-1681.

[MPR] M.Marinari, G.Parisi and F.Ritort, Replica Field Theory for Deterministic Models (I and II): A Non-Random Spin Glass with Glassy Behavior. *J. Phys. A: Math and Gen* **27** (1994), 7615; *J. Phys. A: Math and Gen* **27** (1994), 7647.

[MPV] M Mézard, G Parisi and M Virasoro, *Spin Glass Theory and Beyond*, World Scientific 1995.

[PP] G Parisi, M Potters, Mean-Field Equations for Spin Models with Orthogonal Interaction Matrices. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **28** (1995), 5267-5285.

[R] D Ruelle, *Statistical Mechanics*, W A Benjamin, Inc. 1969.

[S] A N Shiryaev, *Probability*, Springer-Verlag 1995.

[Th] C.J.Thompson, *Equilibrium Statistical Mechanics*, Springer-Verlag 1995.