On averaging persistent Betti numbers

In the 90s our research group at the University of Bologna has studied the use of averages of persistent Betti numbers in degree 0 (i.e. size functions) for shape comparison. In plain words, skipping some technical details, the main idea was the following.

Let us consider a class $C$ of objects sharing the same shape. Let us assume that $n$ measurements $\varphi_r : S_r \to \mathbb{R}$ on $n$ objects $\Sigma_r \in C$ are given. Each $S_r$ is a topological space associated with the object $\Sigma_r$. As an example, $S_r$ could be the surface of the solid object $\Sigma_r$.

Let $\beta^r_0(u,v)$ be the persistent Betti number function in degree 0 of $\varphi_r$, i.e.

$$
\beta^r_0(u,v) := \dim i_\ast \left( H_0(\varphi^{-1}_r(-\infty,v]) \right)
$$

for $u < v$, where $i_\ast$ is the homomorphism between homology groups that is induced by the inclusion $i : \varphi^{-1}_r(-\infty,u] \hookrightarrow \varphi^{-1}_r(-\infty,v]$. We can now consider the average persistent Betti number function

$$
\beta^{av}_0(u,v) := \frac{1}{n}\sum_{r=1}^{n} \beta^r_0(u,v)
$$

as a shape fingerprint of the class $C$.

Every new object $\Sigma$ associated with the filtering function $\varphi : S \to \mathbb{R}$ can be classified by comparing the persistent Betti number function $\beta_0^\varphi(u,v)$ of $\varphi$ with the average functions $\beta^{av}_0$ associated with different classes $C^j$ of objects.

A natural metric to make this comparison seems to be the $L^1$-metric. Unfortunately, a problem immediately arises, because we usually have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \beta^\varphi_0(u,v) - \beta^{j,av}_0(u,v) \right| du \, dv = \infty
$$

for any $j$. Indeed, persistent Betti number functions in degree 0 usually differ from each other on a set of infinite measure. This is due to the fact that persistence diagrams in degree 0 always contain at least one point with infinite ordinate.

The following trick can solve this problem. For each point $(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$ with $\bar{u} < \bar{v}$ (possibly $\bar{v} = \infty$), we fix an integrable function $f(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$. Now, we can consider the persistence diagram $Dgm(\varphi_r)$ and replace the function $\beta^\varphi_0$ with the function

$$
\hat{\beta}^\varphi_0(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) := \sum_{(u,v) \in Dgm(\varphi_r)} f(u,v).
$$

After that, we consider the average function

$$
\hat{\beta}^{av}_0(u,v) := \frac{1}{n}\sum_{r=1}^{n} \hat{\beta}^\varphi_0(u,v).
$$

If we choose $f(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$ equal to the characteristic function of the triangle $\{(u,v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \bar{u} \leq u < v < \bar{v} \}$, then $\hat{\beta}^{av}_0 \equiv \beta^{av}_0$. However, in this case $f(\bar{u}, \infty)$ would not be integrable, and hence in practical applications the functions $f(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$ will be Gaussian-like functions.

We can classify the object $\Sigma$ as an element of the shape class $C^k$ if the $L^1$-distance

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| \hat{\beta}^\varphi_0(u,v) - \hat{\beta}^{j,av}_0(u,v) \right| du \, dv = \infty
$$

takes its minimum for $j = k$.

This approach has been described in the paper.

Another application of this method has been described in the paper

The previously described approach can be straightforwardly adapted to persistent Betti number functions in any degree.
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