

REMARKS AND CORRECTIONS TO

INTRODUCTION TO THE SPECTRAL THEORY OF NON-COMMUTATIVE HARMONIC OSCILLATORS

COE LECTURE NOTE VOL.8, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY

BY ALBERTO PARMEGGIANI

- **Page 12, line 8 below formula (2.2.1):** $\text{Ker}(H^* \pm i) = \{0\}$ (in fact, $\text{Im}(H \mp i) = L^2(\mathbb{R})$).
- **Page 17, definition of confined symbols:** With $B_{X_0, r}^g = \{X; g_{X_0}(X - X_0) < r^2\}$, following Bony and Lerner [2] we say that $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ is a symbol of weight m confined to the ball $B_{X_0, r}^g$, and write $a \in \text{Conf}(m, g, X_0, r)$, if for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

(1)

$$\|a\|_{k, \text{Conf}(m, g, X_0, r)} := \sup_{\ell \leq k, X \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{|a|_\ell^{g_{X_0}}(X)}{m(X_0)} (1 + g_{X_0}^\sigma(X - B_{X_0, r}))^{k/2} < +\infty,$$

where $g_Y^\sigma(X - B) = \inf_{Z \in B} g_Y^\sigma(X - Z)$. Hence the space of symbols confined to the ball $B_{X_0, r}^g$ coincides with $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ endowed with the seminorms (1). Any given $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B_{X_0, r}^g)$ is automatically confined to the ball $B_{X_0, r}^g$.

- **Page 19, Formula (3.1.5):**

$$\begin{aligned} c(X) &= \pi^{-2n} \iint e^{-2i\sigma(X-Y, X-Z)} a(Y)b(Z) dY dZ = \\ &= \pi^{-2n} \iint e^{-2i\sigma(T, Z)} a(X+T)b(X+Z) dT dZ = e^{i\sigma(D_X; D_Y)/2} (a(X)b(Y))|_{X=Y}, \end{aligned}$$

- **Page 31, Remark 3.2.10:** I have used here the notation ${}^{\text{co}}a$ for the cofactor matrix of a , that is the matrix whose entries are the cofactors of ${}^t a$. Hence ${}^{\text{co}}a a = a {}^{\text{co}}a = \det a$.
- **Page 40, inner-product of B^s :** $(u, v)_s := (\Lambda^s u, \Lambda^s v)_0$.
- **Page 49, line 6 of the proof of Lemma 3.3.13:** dist should be intended here as

$$\inf_{\substack{\zeta \in \text{Spec}(q_{\mu/2}(\omega)) \\ \zeta' \in \text{Spec}(-q_{\mu/2}(\omega))}} |\zeta - \zeta'| \geq c_0 > 0, \quad \forall \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{2n-1}.$$

- **Page 50, Remark 3.3.15:** One has to take $\mu = 2$.
- **Page 61, line 5 of the proof of Lemma 4.3.3:** $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$.
- **Page 61, line -5 of the proof of Lemma 4.3.3:** $j > 1$.

- **Page 68, formula (5.2.1):** the norm on the left-hand side of the inequality is $\|\varphi_j\|_{B^{\mu\nu}}^2$.
- **Page 69, definition of $D(f(A))$:** the condition is

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} |f(\lambda_j)|^2 |u_j|^2 < +\infty.$$

- **Page 80:** $\text{Tr } B_{-2j}(t) = \beta'_{-2j}(t) - \beta''_{-2j}(t)$.
- **Page 93, Formula for $\text{Res}(\zeta_A, s_j)$:** the integration contour Γ should be replaced by γ .
- **Page 93, Exercise 7.1.2:** “*Upone*” should be replaced by “*Upon*”.
- **Page 94, statement of Thm. 7.2.1:** the given statement is somewhat imprecise. Here is the correct statement of the meromorphic extension of the spectral zeta:

Theorem 7.2.1. *There exist constants $c_{-2j,n}$, $0 \leq j \leq n-1$, and constants C_j , $j \geq n$, such that for any given integer $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with $\nu \geq n$, $\zeta_A(s)$ is represented as*

$$(7.2.1) \quad \zeta_A(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{c_{-2j,n}}{s-n+j} + \sum_{j=n}^{\nu} \frac{C_j}{s-n+j} + H_{\nu}(s) \right], \quad \text{Re } s > -(\nu-n)-1,$$

where $\Gamma(s)$ is the Euler gamma function, and H_{ν} is holomorphic in $\text{Re } s > -(\nu-n)-1$. Consequently, the spectral zeta function $\zeta_A(s)$ is meromorphic in the whole complex plane \mathbb{C} with at most simple poles at $s = n, n-1, n-2, \dots, 1$. In particular, when $n = 1$, ζ_A has only one simple pole at $s = 1$. One has (recall (6.3.12))

$$(7.2.2) \quad c_{-2j,n} = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}} \text{Tr } b_{-2j}(1, \rho\omega) \rho^{2n-1} d\rho d\omega, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n-1,$$

where the b_{-2j} are the terms in the symbol of the parametrix $U_A \in \text{OPS}_{\text{cl}}(2, 0)$ of Theorem 6.1.5, Remark 6.1.6 and (6.1.9),

$$U_A \sim \sum_{j \geq 0} B_{-2j}.$$

Moreover,

$$c_{0,n} = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}} \text{Tr}(e^{-a_2(\rho\omega)}) \rho^{2n-1} d\rho d\omega$$

is the leading coefficient in the Weyl asymptotics for $N_A(\lambda)$ (see (6.3.14) and Theorem 6.3.1).

- **Page 98, line -6:** $s = -k$ should be replaced by $s = -j - k$.
- **Page 99, formula (7.2.14):** there is an extra summation in k . The correct formula reads as follows

$$(7.2.14) \quad Z_0(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\nu} \frac{c_{-2j,n}}{s-n+j} + \sum_{j,k=0}^{\nu} \frac{f_j^{(j+k)}(0)}{(j+k)!} \frac{1}{s+j+k} + \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} \frac{f_R^{(k)}(0)}{k!} \frac{1}{s+k} + \sum_{j=0}^{\nu} F_{j,\nu}(s) + F_{R,\nu}(s) + F_{\nu+1}(s) \right] =$$

$$(7.2.15) \quad = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{c_{-2j,n}}{s-n+j} + \sum_{j=n}^{\nu} \frac{C_j}{s-n+j} + \tilde{H}_{\nu}(s) \right],$$

- **Page 101, line 2:** the factor e^{-tA} in front of the term $R(0)$ is missing.
- **Page 111, lines -8,-9:** one sets

$$[u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}]^{\perp} := \text{Span}(u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})^{\perp} \cap D(Q)$$

the elements in the domain $D(Q)$ of Q which are orthogonal to the subspace spanned by the vectors u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} .

- **Page 120, line 1 below formula (9.1.4):** $a \in S_0^k(m^{\mu}; \mathbb{M}_N)$.
- **Page 126, bottom line:** $r_{N_0+1} \in S_0^0(m^{-\mu}; \mathbb{M}_N)$.
- **Page 140, line -6:** one clearly has h^{-k_1} instead of h^{-3k_0} , in the inequality.
- **Page 184, line 3 of the proof:** $\xi(\theta) = \sqrt{2} \rho_{\pm}(\theta) \cos \theta$.
- **Page 201, Hypothesis (H5'):** $c_0 > 0$ should be replaced by $c_0 \in \mathbb{R}$.
- **Page 203, line -2:** c_1 should be replaced by c_0 .