



Spectral analysis of saddle point matrices with indefinite leading blocks

V. Simoncini

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Bologna

`valeria@dm.unibo.it`

Joint work with Nick Gould, RAL

The problem

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B^T \\ B & -C \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f \\ g \end{bmatrix}$$

- Computational Fluid Dynamics (Elman, Silvester, Wathen 2005)
- Elasticity problems
- Mixed (FE) formulations of II and IV order elliptic PDEs
- Linearly Constrained Programs
- Linear Regression in Statistics
- Image restoration
- ... **Survey:** Benzi, Golub and Liesen, Acta Num 2005

The problem

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B^T \\ B & -C \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f \\ g \end{bmatrix}$$

Hypotheses:

- ★ $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ symmetric
- ★ $B^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ tall, $m \leq n$
- ★ C symmetric positive (semi)definite

More hypotheses later...

Why are we interested in spectral bounds?

- To detect “sensitive” blocks in the coeff. matrix
(guidelines for preconditioning strategies)

Why are we interested in spectral bounds?

- To detect “sensitive” blocks in the coeff. matrix
(guidelines for preconditioning strategies)
- To “tune” the stabilization parameter (matrix C)

Why are we interested in spectral bounds?

- To detect “sensitive” blocks in the coeff. matrix
(guidelines for preconditioning strategies)
- To “tune” the stabilization parameter (matrix C)
- To predict convergence behavior of the iterative solver

Iterative solver. Convergence considerations.

$$\mathcal{M}x = b$$

\mathcal{M} is symmetric and indefinite \rightarrow MINRES

$$x_k \in x_0 + K_k(\mathcal{M}, r_0), \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \min \|b - \mathcal{M}x_k\|$$

Iterative solver. Convergence considerations.

$$\mathcal{M}x = b$$

\mathcal{M} is symmetric and indefinite \rightarrow MINRES

$$x_k \in x_0 + K_k(\mathcal{M}, r_0), \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \min \|b - \mathcal{M}x_k\|$$

If $\mu(\mathcal{M}) \subset [-a, -b] \cup [c, d]$, with $|b - a| = |d - c|$, then

$$\|b - \mathcal{M}x_{2k}\| \leq 2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{ad} - \sqrt{bc}}{\sqrt{ad} + \sqrt{bc}} \right)^k \|b - \mathcal{M}x_0\|$$

Note: more general but less tractable bounds available

Well-exercised spectral properties

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B^T \\ B & O \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} 0 < \lambda_n \leq \dots \leq \lambda_1 \quad \text{eigs of } A \\ 0 < \sigma_m \leq \dots \leq \sigma_1 \quad \text{sing. vals of } B \end{array}$$

$\mu(\mathcal{M})$ subset of (Rusten & Winther 1992)

$$\left[\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_n - \sqrt{\lambda_n^2 + 4\sigma_1^2}), \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 - \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + 4\sigma_m^2}) \right] \cup \left[\lambda_n, \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + 4\sigma_1^2}) \right]$$

Well-exercised spectral properties

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B^T \\ B & O \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} 0 < \lambda_n \leq \dots \leq \lambda_1 \quad \text{eigs of } A \\ 0 < \sigma_m \leq \dots \leq \sigma_1 \quad \text{sing. vals of } B \end{array}$$

$\mu(\mathcal{M})$ subset of (Rusten & Winther 1992)

$$\left[\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_n - \sqrt{\lambda_n^2 + 4\sigma_1^2}), \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 - \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + 4\sigma_m^2}) \right] \cup \left[\lambda_n, \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + 4\sigma_1^2}) \right]$$

A positive definite

Well-exercised spectral properties

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B^T \\ B & O \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} 0 = \lambda_n \leq \dots \leq \lambda_1 \quad \text{eigs of } A \\ 0 < \sigma_m \leq \dots \leq \sigma_1 \quad \text{sing. vals of } B \end{array}$$

$\mu(\mathcal{M})$ subset of

$$\left[\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_n - \sqrt{\lambda_n^2 + 4\sigma_1^2}), \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 - \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + 4\sigma_m^2}) \right] \cup \left[\alpha_0, \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + 4\sigma_1^2}) \right]$$

A semidefinite but $\frac{u^T A u}{u^T u} > \alpha_0 > 0, u \in \text{Ker}(B)$ Perugia & S., '00

Well-exercised spectral properties

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B^T \\ B & O \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} 0 < \lambda_n \leq \dots \leq \lambda_1 \quad \text{eigs of } A \\ 0 < \sigma_m \leq \dots \leq \sigma_1 \quad \text{sing. vals of } B \end{array}$$

$\mu(\mathcal{M})$ subset of (Rusten & Winther 1992)

$$\left[\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_n - \sqrt{\lambda_n^2 + 4\sigma_1^2}), \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 - \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + 4\sigma_m^2}) \right] \cup \left[\lambda_n, \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + 4\sigma_1^2}) \right]$$

B full rank

Well-exercised spectral properties

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B^T \\ B & -C \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} 0 < \lambda_n \leq \dots \leq \lambda_1 \quad \text{eigs of } A \\ 0 = \sigma_m \leq \dots \leq \sigma_1 \quad \text{sing. vals of } B \end{array}$$

$\mu(\mathcal{M})$ subset of (Silvester & Wathen 1994)

$$\left[\frac{1}{2}(-\gamma_1 + \lambda_n - \sqrt{(\gamma_1 + \lambda_n)^2 + 4\sigma_1^2}), \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 - \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + 4\theta}) \right] \cup \left[\lambda_n, \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + 4\sigma_1^2}) \right]$$

B rank deficient, but $\theta = \lambda_{\min}(BB^T + C)$ full rank

$$\gamma_1 = \lambda_{\max}(C)$$

Spectral properties. Interpretation.

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B^T \\ B & O \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} 0 < \lambda_n \leq \dots \leq \lambda_1 \quad \text{eigs of } A \\ 0 < \sigma_m \leq \dots \leq \sigma_1 \quad \text{sing. vals of } B \end{array}$$

$\mu(\mathcal{M})$ subset of (Rusten & Winther 1992)

$$\left[\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_n - \sqrt{\lambda_n^2 + 4\sigma_1^2}), \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 - \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + 4\sigma_m^2}) \right] \cup \left[\lambda_n, \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + 4\sigma_1^2}) \right]$$

Good (= slim) spectrum: $\lambda_1 \approx \lambda_n, \quad \sigma_1 \approx \sigma_m$

Spectral properties. Interpretation.

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B^T \\ B & O \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} 0 < \lambda_n \leq \dots \leq \lambda_1 \quad \text{eigs of } A \\ 0 < \sigma_m \leq \dots \leq \sigma_1 \quad \text{sing. vals of } B \end{array}$$

$\mu(\mathcal{M})$ subset of (Rusten & Winther 1992)

$$\left[\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_n - \sqrt{\lambda_n^2 + 4\sigma_1^2}), \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 - \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + 4\sigma_m^2}) \right] \cup \left[\lambda_n, \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + 4\sigma_1^2}) \right]$$

Good (= slim) spectrum: $\lambda_1 \approx \lambda_n, \quad \sigma_1 \approx \sigma_m$

e.g.

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{bmatrix} I & U^T \\ U & O \end{bmatrix}, \quad UU^T = I$$

Block diagonal Preconditioner

★ A spd, $C = 0$:

$$\mathcal{P}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & BA^{-1}B^T \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{P}_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{bmatrix} I & A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B^T (BA^{-1}B^T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ (BA^{-1}B^T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} BA^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

MINRES converges in at most 3 iterations. $\mu(\mathcal{P}_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{P}_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = \{1, 1/2 \pm \sqrt{5}/2\}$

Block diagonal Preconditioner

★ A spd, $C = 0$:

$$\mathcal{P}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & BA^{-1}B^T \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{P}_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{bmatrix} I & A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B^T (BA^{-1}B^T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ (BA^{-1}B^T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} BA^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

MINRES converges in at most 3 iterations. $\mu(\mathcal{P}_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{P}_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = \{1, 1/2 \pm \sqrt{5}/2\}$

A more practical choice:

$$\mathcal{P} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A} & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{S} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{spd.} \quad \tilde{A} \approx A \quad \tilde{S} \approx BA^{-1}B^T$$

eigs in $[-a, -b] \cup [c, d]$, $a, b, c, d > 0$

Still an Indefinite Problem, but possibly much easier to solve

Indefinite A

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B^T \\ B & O \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} \lambda_n \leq \dots \leq \lambda_1 \\ 0 < \sigma_m \leq \dots \leq \sigma_1 \\ A \text{ pos.def. on Ker}(B) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{eigs of } A \\ \text{sing. vals of } B \end{array}$$

$\sigma(\mathcal{M})$ subset of

$$\left[\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_n - \sqrt{\lambda_n^2 + 4\sigma_1^2}), \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 - \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + 4\sigma_m^2}) \right] \cup \left[\Gamma, \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + 4\sigma_1^2}) \right]$$

If $m = n$, $\Gamma = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_n + \sqrt{\lambda_n^2 + 4\sigma_m^2})$

Indefinite A , $C = 0$. Cont'd

$$\left[\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_n - \sqrt{\lambda_n^2 + 4\sigma_m^2}), \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 - \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + 4\sigma_m^2}) \right] \cup \left[\Gamma, \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + 4\sigma_m^2}) \right]$$

Letting $\alpha_0 > 0$ be s.t. $\frac{u^T A u}{u^T u} > \alpha_0$, $u \in \text{Ker}(B)$

$$\Gamma \geq \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha_0 \sigma_m^2}{|\alpha_0 \lambda_n - \|A\|^2 - \sigma_m^2|} & \text{if } \alpha_0 + \lambda_n \leq 0 \\ \frac{\alpha_0 \lambda_n - \|A\|^2 - \sigma_m^2}{2(\alpha_0 + \lambda_n)} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\alpha_0 \lambda_n - \|A\|^2 - \sigma_m^2}{2(\alpha_0 + \lambda_n)} \right)^2 + \frac{\alpha_0 \sigma_m^2}{\alpha_0 + \lambda_n}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Sharpness of the bounds

Ex.1. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ -3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, B^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0.1 \end{bmatrix} \mu(\mathcal{M}) = \{-1.5441, 0.0014257, 4.5427\}$

Ex.2. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0.01 & 3 \\ 3 & -0.01 \end{bmatrix}, B = [0, 3] \mu(\mathcal{M}) = \{-4.2452, 5.0 \cdot 10^{-3}, 4.2402\}$

Ex.3. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -4 & 0 \\ -4 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, B^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mu(\mathcal{M}) = \{-4.3528, -0.22974, 0.22974, 2, 4.3528\}$

case	λ_n	λ_1	α_0	σ_m, σ_1	\mathcal{I}^-	\mathcal{I}^+
Ex.1	-1.5414	4.5414	1.0	0.1	[-1.5478, -0.0022]	[0.0004, 4.5436]
Ex.2	-3.0000	3.0000	0.01	3	[-4.8541, -1.8541]	[4.9917 · 10 ⁻³ , 4.8541]
Ex.3	-4.1231	4.1231	2.0	1	[-4.3528, -0.22974]	[0.0762, 4.3528]

Augmenting the (1,1) block

Equivalent formulation ($C = 0$):

$$\begin{bmatrix} A + \tau B^T B & B^T \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a + \tau B^T b \\ b \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}$$

coefficient matrix: $\mathcal{M}(\tau)$

Augmenting the (1,1) block

Equivalent formulation ($C = 0$):

$$\begin{bmatrix} A + \tau B^T B & B^T \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a + \tau B^T b \\ b \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}$$

coefficient matrix: $\mathcal{M}(\tau)$

Condition on τ for definiteness of $A + \tau B^T B$:

$$\tau > \frac{1}{\sigma_m^2} \left(\frac{\|A\|^2}{\alpha_0} - \lambda_n \right)$$

Ex.2. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0.01 & 3 \\ 3 & -0.01 \end{bmatrix}$, $\mu(\mathcal{M}) = \{-4.2452, 5.0 \cdot 10^{-3}, 4.2402\}$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_m^2} \left(\frac{\|A\|^2}{\alpha_0} - \lambda_n \right) = 100.33$$

for $\tau = 100 \rightarrow A + \tau B^T B$ is indefinite

Augmenting the (1,1) block

Assume “good” τ is taken.

$$\begin{bmatrix} A + \tau B^T B & B^T \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a + \tau B^T b \\ b \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}$$

Spectral intervals for (1,1) spd may be obtained

“Stabilized” problem

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B^T \\ B & -C \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a + \tau B^T b \\ b \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}$$

Coefficient matrix: \mathcal{M}_C

Warning: for A indefinite, conditions on C required:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{singular!}$$

Note: Perturbation results yield spectral bounds assuming $\lambda_{\max}^C < \Gamma$

“Stabilized” problem

More accurate result:

If $\lambda_{\max}^C < \frac{\alpha_0 \sigma_m^2}{\|A\|^2 - \lambda_n \alpha_0}$, then $\mu(\mathcal{M}_C) \subset \mathcal{I}^- \cup \mathcal{I}^+$ with

$$\mathcal{I}^- = \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\lambda_n - \lambda_{\max}^C - \sqrt{(\lambda_n + \lambda_{\max}^C)^2 + 4\sigma_1^2} \right), \frac{1}{2} \left(\lambda_1 - \sqrt{(\lambda_1)^2 + 4\sigma_m^2} \right) \right] \subset \mathbb{R}^-$$

$$\mathcal{I}^+ = \left[\Gamma_C, \frac{1}{2} \left(\lambda_1 + \sqrt{(\lambda_1)^2 + 4\sigma_1^2} \right) \right] \subset \mathbb{R}^+,$$

$$\text{For } m = n, \quad \Gamma_C = \frac{1}{2} \left(\lambda_n - \lambda_{\max}^C + \sqrt{(\lambda_n + \lambda_{\max}^C)^2 + 4\sigma_m^2} \right)$$

more complicated (but explicit!) estimate for $m < n$

“Stabilized” problem

An example:

$$\mathcal{M}_C = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_n & 0 & \sigma \\ 0 & \lambda_1 & 0 \\ \sigma & 0 & -\gamma^C \end{bmatrix},$$

with $\lambda_n < 0, \lambda_1 > 0, \sigma > 0$. If $\gamma^C = -\sigma^2/\lambda_n$ then \mathcal{M}_C is singular.

“Stabilized” problem

An example:

$$\mathcal{M}_C = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_n & 0 & \sigma \\ 0 & \lambda_1 & 0 \\ \sigma & 0 & -\gamma^C \end{bmatrix},$$

with $\lambda_n < 0, \lambda_1 > 0, \sigma > 0$. If $\gamma^C = -\sigma^2/\lambda_n$ then \mathcal{M}_C is singular.

Our estimate requires: $0 \leq \gamma^C \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{-\sigma^2}{\lambda_n}$
(half the value from singularity!)

“Stabilized” problem

An example:

$$\mathcal{M}_C = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_n & 0 & \sigma \\ 0 & \lambda_1 & 0 \\ \sigma & 0 & -\gamma^C \end{bmatrix},$$

with $\lambda_n < 0, \lambda_1 > 0, \sigma > 0$. If $\gamma^C = -\sigma^2/\lambda_n$ then \mathcal{M}_C is singular.

Our estimate requires: $0 \leq \gamma^C \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{-\sigma^2}{\lambda_n}$
(half the value from singularity!)

Related result: Bai, Ng, Wang (tech.rep.2008) qualitatively similar bound based on $B^T C^{-1} B, A + B^T C^{-1} B$
(no full rank assumption on B)

Full rank assumption of B

In some optimization problems:

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

with positive definite C_1

Natural assumption: $A + B_1^T C_1^{-1} B_1$ definite on the null space of the full-rank B_2 . In this case,

$$\mathcal{M}_C = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B_1^T \\ B_1 & -C_1 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} B_2^T \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} B_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Spectral analysis: Use Bai, Ng, Wang result to get spectral intervals for the “(1,1)” block, and then apply our bounds for \mathcal{M}_C

Application to ideal block diagonal preconditioners

Indefinite preconditioner, $C = 0$:

1. Let $\mathcal{P}_+ = \text{blkdiag}(A, BA^{-1}B^T)$. Then

$$\mu(\mathcal{P}_+^{-1}\mathcal{M}) \subset \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{5}), \frac{1}{2}(1 - \sqrt{5}) \right\} \subset \mathbb{R};$$

2. Let $\mathcal{P}_- = \text{blkdiag}(A, -BA^{-1}B^T)$. Then

$$\mu(\mathcal{P}_-^{-1}\mathcal{M}) \subset \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{2}(1 + i\sqrt{3}), \frac{1}{2}(1 - i\sqrt{3}) \right\} \subset \mathbb{C}^+.$$

Application to **practical** block diagonal preconditioners

Indefinite preconditioner, $C = 0$:

Let $\mathcal{P}_{\pm} = \text{blkdiag}(A, \pm\tilde{S})$ with A, \tilde{S} nonsingular. Then

$$\mu(\mathcal{P}_{\pm}^{-1}\mathcal{M}) \subset \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\xi}), \frac{1}{2}(1 - \sqrt{1 + 4\xi}) \right\} \subset \mathbb{C},$$

ξ : (possibly complex) eigenvalues of $(BA^{-1}B^T, \pm\tilde{S})$

Application to ideal block diagonal preconditioners

Indefinite preconditioner, $C \neq 0$:

Let $\mathcal{P}_+ = \text{blkdiag}(A, C + BA^{-1}B^T)$. Then

$$\mu(\mathcal{P}_+^{-1}\mathcal{M}) \subset \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \sqrt{5}), \frac{1}{2\theta}(\theta - 1 \pm \sqrt{(1 - \theta)^2 + 4\theta^2}) \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}.$$

θ finite eigs of $(C + BA^{-1}B^T, C)$

Similar results for $\mathcal{P}_- = \text{blkdiag}(A, -C - BA^{-1}B^T)$

Application to ideal block diagonal preconditioners

Definite preconditioner, $C = 0$:

$$\mathcal{P}(\tau) = \begin{bmatrix} P_A & \\ & P_C \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{aligned} P_A &\approx P_A(\tau) = A + \tau B^T B \\ P_C &\approx P_C(\tau) = B(A + \tau B^T B)^{-1} B^T \end{aligned}$$

- Definite preconditioner on definite problem:

$\mathcal{P}(\tau)^{-1} \mathcal{M}(\tau)$ has eigenvalues

$$1, \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{5}), \frac{1}{2}(1 - \sqrt{5})$$

with multiplicity $n - m$, m and m , respectively.

Application to ideal block diagonal preconditioners

- **Definite** preconditioner on **indefinite** (original) problem:

Suppose that $B(Y \ Z) = (L \ 0)$ is of full rank and that $Z^T A Z$ and $P_A(\tau)$ are positive definite. Then $\mathcal{P}(\tau)^{-1} \mathcal{M}$ has eigenvalues

i) 1, of multiplicity $n - m + \text{Nullity}(A)$;

ii) -1 , of multiplicity $\text{Nullity}(A)$;

iii) $(\mu_i \pm \sqrt{\mu_i^2 + 4})/2$, $i = 1, \dots, m - \text{Nullity}(A)$, where $\mu_i = \omega_i / (\omega_i + \tau)$ and ω_i are the eigenvalues of $L^{-T} (Y^T A Y - Y^T A Z (Z^T A Z)^{-1} Z^T A Y) L^{-1}$

(cf. also Golub, Greif, Varah '06)

Note: as τ increases the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{P}(\tau)^{-1} \mathcal{M}$ cluster around the two values ± 1 .

Spectral intervals for $\mathcal{P}(\tau)^{-1} \mathcal{M}$ using the new bounds

Final considerations and outlook

- Sharp bounds obtained for indefinite $(1,1)$ block
- Estimates also for the Augmented formulation
- Spectral bounds for ideal block diagonal preconditioners

Final considerations and outlook

- Sharp bounds obtained for indefinite (1,1) block
- Estimates also for the Augmented formulation
- Spectral bounds for ideal block diagonal preconditioners
- **Future work:** exploit this knowledge to devise and analyze effective preconditioners