An iterative algorithm for L1-TV constrained regularization in image restoration.

K. Chen¹, E. Loli Piccolomini², F. Zama²

¹Department of Mathematics, University of Liverpool, ²Department of Mathematics, University of Bologna

Abstract

We consider the problem of restoring blurred images affected by impulsive noise. The adopted method restores the images by solving a sequence of constrained minimization problems where the data fidelity function is the ℓ_1 norm of the residual and the constraint, chosen as the image Total Variation, is automatically adapted to improve the quality of the restored images. Although this approach is general, we report here the case of vectorial images where the blurring model involves contributions from the different image channels (cross channel blur). A computationally convenient extension of the Total Variation function to vectorial images is used and the results reported show that this approach is efficient for recovering nearly optimal images.

(3)

Multichannel L1-TV Image Restoration

Algorithm

 $\mathcal{H}U = Y + \zeta^{\delta} = Y^{\delta}, \quad U = [U_1, Y_2, \dots, U_p]$ unknown image (1)

- ▶ Blurring kernel \mathcal{H} block matrix $\{H_{n,k}\}, (n,k) \ 1, \ldots, p$.
 - ► $H_{n,k}$, $n \neq k$: the cross-channel blur between *n*-th and *k*-channels. \blacktriangleright $H_{k,k}$: within-channel blur.
- \succ $Y = [Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_p]$: *p*-channels blurred image

$$Y_n = \sum_{k=1}^p H_{n,k} * U_k, \quad n = 1, \dots, p \quad Y_n \in \mathcal{R}^{M \times N}$$
 (2)

 \triangleright Y^{δ}: noisy image corrupted by salt and pepper noise ζ^{δ} Ill-posed problem \Rightarrow Regularization method

 $\min_{U} \|\mathcal{H}U - Y^{\delta}\|_{1}$ s.t. $MTV(U) \leq \gamma$

► *MTV*(*U*) discrete Multichannel Total Variation:

$$MTV(U) = \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(|\nabla U|_{i,j,1}^{2} + |\nabla U|_{i,j,2}^{2} + \dots + |\nabla U|_{i,j,p}^{2} \right)^{1/2}$$

Since γ is UNKNOWN, we solve a sequence of problems (3) with

- For each γ_i problem (3) is solved in Lagrangian dual form : $\max_{\lambda} \left\{ \min_{U} \left\{ \|\mathcal{H}U - Y^{\delta}\|_{1} + \lambda \left(MTV(U) - \gamma_{j}\right) \right\} \right\}$
- 1. find $\hat{\lambda}$ s.t. $MTV(U(\hat{\lambda})) \gamma_i = 0$ (Bisection+secant method) [1] 2. $U(\hat{\lambda}) = \operatorname{argmin}_{U} ||\mathcal{H}U - Y^{\delta}||_{1} + \hat{\lambda} (MTV(U) - \gamma_{i}) (FTVD [2])$ • Compute $\gamma_0 = MTV(U^{(F)})$ where $U^{(F)}$ is a low pass filtered starting image obtained by solving:

 $U^{(F)} = argmin_{U} ||\mathcal{H}U - Y^{\delta}||_{1} + \lambda_{F}MTV(U)$

- \triangleright λ_F is computed by undersampling the the spectra of the blurring matrices $H_{n,k}$ and taking the minimum of the Fourier coefficients.
- CL1TV Algorithm for iteratively updating of the smoothing values γ_i :

i = 0;repeat $j = j + 1, \quad \gamma_j = \gamma_{j-1}(1 + P);$ compute $U^{(j)}$ by solving (3); $r_i = \|\mathcal{H}U^{(j)} - Y^{\delta}\|_1;$ $D_{i} = (r_{i} - r_{i-1})/(\gamma_{i} - \gamma_{i-1})$ until $D_i < -P_{tol}$

 $\gamma = \gamma_i, j = 1, \ldots, r$, where γ_i is updated taking into account the /1 residual values.

▶ P relative change in the values of γ_i (P = 0.1); $P_{tol} = 1.e - 4$

Numerical results

(.7G(21, 11).15G(21, 11).15G(21, 11)) $\mathcal{H} = \left(\begin{array}{c} .1G(21,11) \\ .2G(21,11) \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{c} .8G(21,11) \\ .2G(21,11) \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{c} .8G(21,11) \\ .2G(21,11) \end{array} \right)$

- where $G(h, \sigma)$ is a Gaussian function of size h = 21 pixels and variance $\sigma = 11$ pixels. Test setting:
 - Salt & Pepper noise (imnoise matlab function)
 - two levels of noise: 40% and 80% of corrupted pixels
 - maximum number of CL1TV iterations is 5

Test (nl) E0 Er $it_{\gamma} it_{\lambda} it_{FTVD}$ 11 40% 0.477 0.139 5 33 15768 11 80% 0.600 0.145 5 24 10941

Figure: Relative errors and L1 residuals for the tests with medium noise









Original image

Blurred noisy image

Restored image

12 40% 0.306 0.050 5 37 5670 12 80% 0.4166 0.051 5 27 4021

Table: CL1TV algorithm results. E0 is the relative error of the recorded image, Er the relative error of the restored image, it_{γ} the number of elements of the sequence γ_i , it_{λ} the total number of iterations of the bisection and secant methods, it_{FTVD} the total number of iterations of the FTVD method.





Figure: 12 image.



(4)

Original image

Blurred noisy image



References

[1] Chen K, Piccolomini E and Zama F 2013 *Numerical Algorithms*

[2] Yang J, Zhang Y and Yin W 2009 *Siam J. Sci. Comput.* **31** 2482–2865

NCMIP 2015 - New Computational Methods for Inverse Problems - May 25, 2015