



On low-rank methods for large-scale matrix equations and application to PDEs

V. Simoncini

Dipartimento di Matematica

Alma Mater Studiorum - Università di Bologna

`valeria.simoncini@unibo.it`

Some matrix equations - large scale

- Sylvester matrix equation $AX + XB + D = 0$

Eigenvalue pbs and tracking, Control, MOR, Assignment pbs, Riccati eqn

- Lyapunov matrix equation

$$AX + XA^T + D = 0, \quad D = D^T$$

Stability analysis in Control and Dynamical systems, Signal processing, eigenvalue computations

- Multiterm matrix equation

$$A_1XB_1 + A_2XB_2 + \dots + A_\ell XB_\ell = C$$

Control, (Stochastic) PDEs, ...

Survey article: V.Simoncini, SIAM Review 2016.

More matrix equations - large scale

- Systems of linear matrix equations:

$$A_2 \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X} A_1 + B^\top \mathbf{P} = F_1$$

$$A_1 \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{Y} A_2 + \mathbf{P} B = F_2$$

$$B \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{Y} B^\top = F_3$$

(Simoncini, 2019 to appear in IMA Num.Anal.)

More matrix equations - large scale

- Systems of linear matrix equations:

$$A_2 \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X} A_1 + B^\top \mathbf{P} = F_1$$

$$A_1 \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{Y} A_2 + \mathbf{P} B = F_2$$

$$B \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{Y} B^\top = F_3$$

(Simoncini, 2019 to appear in IMA Num.Anal.)

- Riccati equation: Find $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$A \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X} A^\top - \mathbf{X} B B^\top \mathbf{X} + C^\top C = 0$$

workhorse in Control Theory

More matrix equations - large scale

- Systems of linear matrix equations:

$$A_2 \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X} A_1 + B^\top \mathbf{P} = F_1$$

$$A_1 \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{Y} A_2 + \mathbf{P} B = F_2$$

$$B \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{Y} B^\top = F_3$$

(Simoncini, 2019 to appear in IMA Num.Anal.)

- Riccati equation: Find $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that

$$A \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X} A^\top - \mathbf{X} B B^\top \mathbf{X} + C^\top C = 0$$

workhorse in Control Theory

- Tensor equation: Find $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n}$ such that

$$(H \otimes M \otimes A + M \otimes A \otimes H + A \otimes H \otimes M) \mathbf{x} + c = 0 \quad \mathbf{x} = \text{vec}(\mathbf{X})$$

Discretization of parameter-dependent PDEs

Projection-type methods

Approximate \mathbf{X} in:

$$A\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X}A^\top + BB^\top = 0$$

Given an low dimensional approximation space \mathcal{K} ,

$$\mathbf{X} \approx X_m \quad \text{col}(X_m) \in \mathcal{K}$$

Galerkin condition: $R := AX_m + X_mA^\top + BB^\top \perp \mathcal{K}$

$$V_m^\top R V_m = 0 \quad \mathcal{K} = \text{Range}(V_m)$$

Projection-type methods

Approximate \mathbf{X} in:

$$A\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X}A^\top + BB^\top = 0$$

Given an low dimensional approximation space \mathcal{K} ,

$$\mathbf{X} \approx X_m \quad \text{col}(X_m) \in \mathcal{K}$$

Galerkin condition: $R := AX_m + X_mA^\top + BB^\top \perp \mathcal{K}$

$$V_m^\top R V_m = 0 \quad \mathcal{K} = \text{Range}(V_m)$$

Assume $V_m^\top V_m = I_m$ and let $X_m := V_m Y_m V_m^\top$.

Projected Lyapunov equation:

$$(V_m^\top A V_m) Y_m + Y_m (V_m^\top A^\top V_m) + V_m^\top B B^\top V_m = 0$$

Early contributions: Saad '90, Jaimoukha & Kasenally '94, for

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_m(A, B) = \text{Range}([B, AB, \dots, A^{m-1}B])$$

More recent options as approximation space

Enrich space to decrease space dimension

- Extended Krylov subspace

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{E}\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}_m(A, B) + \mathcal{K}_m(A^{-1}, A^{-1}B),$$

that is, $\mathcal{K} = \text{Range}([B, A^{-1}B, AB, A^{-2}B, A^2B, A^{-3}B, \dots,])$

(Druskin & Knizhnerman '98, Simoncini '07)

More recent options as approximation space

Enrich space to decrease space dimension

- Extended Krylov subspace

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{E}\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}_m(A, B) + \mathcal{K}_m(A^{-1}, A^{-1}B),$$

that is, $\mathcal{K} = \text{Range}([B, A^{-1}B, AB, A^{-2}B, A^2B, A^{-3}B, \dots,])$

(Druskin & Knizhnerman '98, Simoncini '07)

- Rational Krylov subspace

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{K} := \text{Range}([B, (A - s_2I)^{-1}B, \dots, (A - s_mI)^{-1}B])$$

usually, $\{s_2, \dots, s_m\} \subset \mathbb{C}^+$ chosen either a-priori or dynamically

(Used in different contexts, since Ruhe '84)

More recent options as approximation space

Enrich space to decrease space dimension

- Extended Krylov subspace

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{E}\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}_m(A, B) + \mathcal{K}_m(A^{-1}, A^{-1}B),$$

that is, $\mathcal{K} = \text{Range}([B, A^{-1}B, AB, A^{-2}B, A^2B, A^{-3}B, \dots,])$

(Druskin & Knizhnerman '98, Simoncini '07)

- Rational Krylov subspace

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{K} := \text{Range}([B, (A - s_2I)^{-1}B, \dots, (A - s_mI)^{-1}B])$$

usually, $\{s_2, \dots, s_m\} \subset \mathbb{C}^+$ chosen either a-priori or dynamically

(Used in different contexts, since Ruhe '84)

In both cases, for $\text{Range}(V_m) = \mathcal{K}$, **projected Lyapunov equation:**

$$(V_m^\top A V_m) Y_m + Y_m (V_m^\top A^\top V_m) + V_m^\top B B^\top V_m = 0$$

$$X_m = V_m Y_m V_m^\top$$

Multiterm linear matrix equation

$$A_1\mathbf{X}B_1 + A_2\mathbf{X}B_2 + \dots + A_\ell\mathbf{X}B_\ell = C$$

Applications:

- Control
- (Stochastic) PDEs
- Matrix least squares
- ...

Multiterm linear matrix equation

$$A_1 \mathbf{X} B_1 + A_2 \mathbf{X} B_2 + \dots + A_\ell \mathbf{X} B_\ell = C$$

Applications:

- Control
- (Stochastic) PDEs
- Matrix least squares
- ...

Main device: Kronecker formulation

$$(B_1^\top \otimes A_1 + \dots + B_\ell^\top \otimes A_\ell) x = c$$

Iterative methods: matrix-matrix multiplications and rank truncation

(Benner, Breiten, Bouhamidi, Chehab, Damm, Grasedyck, Jbilou, Kressner, Matthies, Onwunta, Raydan, Stoll, Szyld, Tobler, Zander, and many others...)

Multiterm linear matrix equation

$$A_1\mathbf{X}B_1 + A_2\mathbf{X}B_2 + \dots + A_\ell\mathbf{X}B_\ell = C$$

Applications:

- Control
- (Stochastic) PDEs
- Matrix least squares
- ...

Alternative approaches:

- Projection onto rich approximation space
- Compression to two-term matrix equation
- Splitting strategy towards two-term matrix equation
- ...

PDEs on uniform grids and separable coeffs

$$-\varepsilon \Delta u + \phi_1(x)\psi_1(y)u_x + \phi_2(x)\psi_2(y)u_y + \gamma_1(x)\gamma_2(y)u = f \quad (x, y) \in \Omega$$

$\phi_i, \psi_i, \gamma_i, i = 1, 2$ sufficiently regular functions + b.c.

Problem discretization by means of a tensor basis:

Finite differences, isogeometric analysis, spectral methods, etc.

PDEs on uniform grids and separable coeffs

$$-\varepsilon \Delta u + \phi_1(x)\psi_1(y)u_x + \phi_2(x)\psi_2(y)u_y + \gamma_1(x)\gamma_2(y)u = f \quad (x, y) \in \Omega$$

$\phi_i, \psi_i, \gamma_i, i = 1, 2$ sufficiently regular functions + b.c.

Problem discretization by means of a tensor basis:

Finite differences, isogeometric analysis, spectral methods, etc.

Multiterm linear equation:

$$-\varepsilon T_1 \mathbf{U} - \varepsilon \mathbf{U} T_2 + \Phi_1 B_1 \mathbf{U} \Psi_1 + \Phi_2 \mathbf{U} B_2^\top \Psi_2 + \Gamma_1 \mathbf{U} \Gamma_2 = F$$

Finite Diff.: $\mathbf{U}_{i,j} = \mathbf{U}(x_i, y_j)$ approximate solution at the nodes

(see, e.g., Palitta & Simoncini, '16)

PDEs with random inputs

Stochastic steady-state diffusion eqn: Find $u : D \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t. \mathbb{P} -a.s.,

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla \cdot (a(\mathbf{x}, \omega) \nabla u(\mathbf{x}, \omega)) = f(\mathbf{x}) & \text{in } D \\ u(\mathbf{x}, \omega) = 0 & \text{on } \partial D \end{cases}$$

f : deterministic;

a : random field, linear function of finite no. of real-valued random variables $\xi_r : \Omega \rightarrow \Gamma_r \subset \mathbb{R}$

Common choice: truncated Karhunen–Loève (KL) expansion,

$$a(\mathbf{x}, \omega) = \mu(\mathbf{x}) + \sigma \sum_{r=1}^m \sqrt{\lambda_r} \phi_r(\mathbf{x}) \xi_r(\omega),$$

$\mu(\mathbf{x})$: expected value of diffusion coef. σ : std dev.

$(\lambda_r, \phi_r(\mathbf{x}))$ eigs of the integral operator \mathcal{V} wrto $V(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} C(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$

$(\lambda_r \searrow \quad C : D \times D \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ covariance function })$

Discretization by stochastic Galerkin

Approx with space in tensor product form^a $\mathcal{X}_h \times S_p$

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}, \quad \mathbf{A} = G_0 \otimes K_0 + \sum_{r=1}^m G_r \otimes K_r, \quad \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{g}_0 \otimes \mathbf{f}_0,$$

\mathbf{x} : expansion coef. of approx to u in the tensor product basis $\{\varphi_i \psi_k\}$

$K_r \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_x}$, FE matrices (sym)

$G_r \in \mathbb{R}^{n_\xi \times n_\xi}$, $r = 0, 1, \dots, m$ Galerkin matrices associated w/ S_p (sym.)

\mathbf{g}_0 : first column of G_0

\mathbf{f}_0 : FE rhs of deterministic PDE

$$n_\xi = \dim(S_p) = \frac{(m+p)!}{m!p!} \Rightarrow \boxed{n_x \cdot n_\xi} \text{ huge}$$

^a S_p set of multivariate polyn of total degree $\leq p$

The matrix equation formulation

$$(G_0 \otimes K_0 + G_1 \otimes K_1 + \dots + G_m \otimes K_m) \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{g}_0 \otimes \mathbf{f}_0$$

transforms into

$$K_0 \mathbf{X} G_0 + K_1 \mathbf{X} G_1 + \dots + K_m \mathbf{X} G_m = F, \quad F = \mathbf{f}_0 \mathbf{g}_0^\top$$

$$(G_0 = I)$$

Solution strategy. Conjecture:

- $\{K_r\}$ from trunc'd Karhunen–Loève (KL) expansion

⇓

$$\mathbf{X} \approx \tilde{X} \text{ low rank, } \tilde{X} = X_1 X_2^\top$$

(Possibly extending results of Grasedyck, 2004)

Matrix Galerkin approximation of the deterministic part. 1

Approximation space \mathcal{K}_k and basis matrix V_k : $\mathbf{X} \approx X_k = V_k Y$

$$V_k^\top R_k = 0, \quad R_k := K_0 X_k + K_1 X_k G_1 + \dots + K_m X_k G_m - \mathbf{f}_0 \mathbf{g}_0^\top$$

Computational challenges:

- Generation of \mathcal{K}_k involved $m + 1$ different matrices $\{K_r\}$!
- Matrices K_r have different spectral properties
- n_x, n_ξ so large that X_k, R_k should not be formed !

(Powell & Silvester & Simoncini, SISC 2017)

More on Kronecker connection for low-rank Galerkin approximation

$$A_1 X B_1 + A_2 X B_2 + \dots + A_\ell X B_\ell = F$$

- Operators: $\mathcal{S} : \mathbb{R}^{n \times p} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$,

$$\mathcal{S} : X \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} A_j X B_j,$$

and $\mathcal{S}_\ell := \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} B_j^\top \otimes A_j$. So that

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{X}) = F \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{S}_\ell \text{vec}(\mathbf{X}) = \text{vec}(F)$$

More on Kronecker connection for low-rank Galerkin approximation

$$A_1 X B_1 + A_2 X B_2 + \dots + A_\ell X B_\ell = F$$

- Operators: $\mathcal{S} : \mathbb{R}^{n \times p} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$,

$$\mathcal{S} : X \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} A_j X B_j,$$

and $\mathcal{S}_\ell := \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} B_j^\top \otimes A_j$. So that

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{X}) = F \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{S}_\ell \text{vec}(\mathbf{X}) = \text{vec}(F)$$

- Galerkin condition: $V_k^\top R_k W_k = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (W_k \otimes V_k)^\top r_k = 0$

where $r_k = \text{vec}(R_k)$ and $\mathcal{V}_m = \text{range}(W_k \otimes V_k)$

Optimality properties of low-rank Galerkin approximation

For $\mathcal{S} : X \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} A_j X B_j$ and $\mathcal{S}_\ell := \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} B_j^\top \otimes A_j$:

DEF: \mathcal{S} is symmetric and positive definite if for any $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{R}^{np}$, $x = \text{vec}(X)$, with $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$, it holds

- $\mathcal{S}_\ell = \mathcal{S}_\ell^\top$
- $x^\top \mathcal{S}_\ell x > 0$, where $x^\top \mathcal{S}_\ell x = \text{trace} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} X^\top A_j X B_j \right)$

\Rightarrow We use $\|X\|_{\mathcal{S}}^2 := x^\top \mathcal{S}_\ell x$. (see, e.g., Vandereycken & Vandewalle, '10)

Optimality properties of low-rank Galerkin approximation

For $\mathcal{S} : X \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} A_j X B_j$ and $\mathcal{S}_\ell := \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} B_j^\top \otimes A_j$:

DEF: \mathcal{S} is symmetric and positive definite if for any $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{R}^{np}$, $x = \text{vec}(X)$, with $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$, it holds

- $\mathcal{S}_\ell = \mathcal{S}_\ell^\top$
- $x^\top \mathcal{S}_\ell x > 0$, where $x^\top \mathcal{S}_\ell x = \text{trace} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} X^\top A_j X B_j \right)$

\Rightarrow We use $\|X\|_{\mathcal{S}}^2 := x^\top \mathcal{S}_\ell x$. (see, e.g., Vandereycken & Vandewalle, '10)

PROP: Let $\mathcal{S}(X) = F$ with $\mathcal{S} : X \mapsto \sum_j A_j X B_j$ sym.pos.def., and let $X_k = V_k Y_k W_k^\top$ be the Galerkin approximate solution. Then

$$\|X - X_k\|_{\mathcal{S}} = \min_{\substack{Z = V_k Y W_k^\top \\ Y \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}}} \|X - Z\|_{\mathcal{S}}$$

(Palitta & Simoncini, tr2019; see also Kressner & Tobler, '10 for related results)

Optimality properties of Galerkin approximation. Lyapunov equation.

$$A^\top X + XA + F = 0$$

For A sym.pos.def.,

$$\|X\|_{\mathcal{S}}^2 = 2 \operatorname{trace}(X^\top AX).$$

Let $E_k = X - X_k$. Then

$$\|E_k\|_{\mathcal{S}}^2 = \min_{\substack{Z = V_k Y W_k^\top \\ Y \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}}} \|X - Z\|_{\mathcal{S}}^2 = 2 \operatorname{trace}(E_k A E_k).$$

Optimality properties of Galerkin approximation. Lyapunov equation.

$$A^\top X + XA + F = 0$$

For A sym.pos.def.,

$$\|X\|_{\mathcal{S}}^2 = 2 \operatorname{trace}(X^\top AX).$$

Let $E_k = X - X_k$. Then

$$\|E_k\|_{\mathcal{S}}^2 = \min_{\substack{Z=V_k Y W_k^\top \\ Y \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}}} \|X - Z\|_{\mathcal{S}}^2 = 2 \operatorname{trace}(E_k A E_k).$$

♣: If F is sym, we can choose $W_k = V_k$

Optimality properties of low-rank Petrov-Galerkin approximation

$\mathcal{K}_k = \text{range}(W_k \otimes V_k)$ approximation space, \mathcal{L}_k test space.

In vector form: $\mathcal{L}_k^\top r_m = 0$

Optimality properties of low-rank Petrov-Galerkin approximation

$\mathcal{K}_k = \text{range}(W_k \otimes V_k)$ approximation space, \mathcal{L}_k test space.

In vector form: $\mathcal{L}_k^\top r_m = 0$

For $\mathcal{L}_k = \mathcal{S}_\ell \mathcal{K}_k$, minimization of residual norm:

$$\min_{x \in \text{range}(W_k \otimes V_k)} \|\text{vec}(F) - \mathcal{S}_\ell x\|_2 = \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^{k^2}} \|\text{vec}(F) - \mathcal{S}_\ell (W_k \otimes V_k) y\|_2$$

Optimality properties of low-rank Petrov-Galerkin approximation

$\mathcal{K}_k = \text{range}(W_k \otimes V_k)$ approximation space, \mathcal{L}_k test space.

In vector form: $\mathcal{L}_k^\top r_m = 0$

For $\mathcal{L}_k = \mathcal{S}_\ell \mathcal{K}_k$, minimization of residual norm:

$$\min_{x \in \text{range}(W_k \otimes V_k)} \|\text{vec}(F) - \mathcal{S}_\ell x\|_2 = \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^{k^2}} \|\text{vec}(F) - \mathcal{S}_\ell(W_k \otimes V_k)y\|_2$$

In matrix form:

$$\min_{X=V_k Y W_k^\top} \|F - \mathcal{S}(X)\|_F = \min_{Y \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}} \|F - \mathcal{S}(V_k Y W_k^\top)\|_F$$

For the Lyapunov eqn, Lin & Simoncini, 2013

Optimality properties of low-rank Petrov-Galerkin approximation

$\mathcal{K}_k = \text{range}(W_k \otimes V_k)$ approximation space, \mathcal{L}_k test space.

In vector form: $\mathcal{L}_k^\top r_m = 0$

For $\mathcal{L}_k = \mathcal{S}_\ell \mathcal{K}_k$, minimization of residual norm:

$$\min_{x \in \text{range}(W_k \otimes V_k)} \|\text{vec}(F) - \mathcal{S}_\ell x\|_2 = \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^{k^2}} \|\text{vec}(F) - \mathcal{S}_\ell(W_k \otimes V_k)y\|_2$$

In matrix form:

$$\min_{X=V_k Y W_k^\top} \|F - \mathcal{S}(X)\|_F = \min_{Y \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}} \|F - \mathcal{S}(V_k Y W_k^\top)\|_F$$

For the Lyapunov eqn, Lin & Simoncini, 2013

A problem: Even if exact solution is definite, Y is not necessarily definite!

A constrained optimality of low-rank Petrov-Galerkin approximation

Impose definiteness as constraint:

$$\min_{\substack{Y \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k} \\ Y \leq 0}} \|F - \mathcal{S}(V_k Y W_k^\top)\|_F$$

A constrained optimality of low-rank Petrov-Galerkin approximation

Impose definiteness as constraint:

$$\min_{\substack{Y \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k} \\ Y \leq 0}} \|F - \mathcal{S}(V_k Y W_k^\top)\|_F$$

Optimization context: linear matrix inequalities

$$Y \leq 0, \quad \begin{bmatrix} I & \text{vec}(F - \mathcal{S}(V_k Y W_k^\top)) \\ \text{vec}(F - \mathcal{S}(V_k Y W_k^\top))^\top & \gamma \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$$

for the unknown matrix Y and scalar $\gamma > 0$

A constrained optimality of low-rank Petrov-Galerkin approximation

Impose definiteness as constraint:

$$\min_{\substack{Y \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k} \\ Y \leq 0}} \|F - \mathcal{S}(V_k Y W_k^\top)\|_F$$

Optimization context: linear matrix inequalities

$$Y \leq 0, \quad \begin{bmatrix} I & \text{vec}(F - \mathcal{S}(V_k Y W_k^\top)) \\ \text{vec}(F - \mathcal{S}(V_k Y W_k^\top))^\top & \gamma \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$$

for the unknown matrix Y and scalar $\gamma > 0$

♣: In practice, optimization problem solved in the reduced space!

Palitta & Simoncini, tr2019

Low-rank Tensor equation

Find the unique $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n}$ such that

$$(H \otimes M \otimes A + M \otimes A \otimes H + A \otimes H \otimes M) \text{vec}(\mathbf{X}) + f_3 \otimes f_2 \otimes f_1 = 0$$

Low-rank Tensor equation

Find the unique $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n}$ such that

$$(H \otimes M \otimes A + M \otimes A \otimes H + A \otimes H \otimes M)\text{vec}(\mathbf{X}) + f_3 \otimes f_2 \otimes f_1 = 0$$

PROP. Let $A^\top H^{-\top} = Q\Lambda Q^{-1}$ be the eigendecomposition of $A^\top H^{-\top}$.

Then for each $k = 1, \dots, n$, the solution \mathbf{X} is obtained as $\mathbf{X}(:, :, k) = \mathbf{Z}_k Q^{-\top}$ where \mathbf{Z}_k solves

$$(A + \lambda_k H)\mathbf{Z}M^\top + M\mathbf{Z}A^\top + f_2 g_k f_3^\top = 0$$

with $g^\top = f_1^\top H^{-\top} Q$.

Low-rank Tensor equation

Find the unique $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n}$ such that

$$(H \otimes M \otimes A + M \otimes A \otimes H + A \otimes H \otimes M)\text{vec}(\mathbf{X}) + f_3 \otimes f_2 \otimes f_1 = 0$$

PROP. Let $A^\top H^{-\top} = Q\Lambda Q^{-1}$ be the eigendecomposition of $A^\top H^{-\top}$.

Then for each $k = 1, \dots, n$, the solution \mathbf{X} is obtained as $\mathbf{X}(:, :, k) = \mathbf{Z}_k Q^{-\top}$ where \mathbf{Z}_k solves

$$(A + \lambda_k H)\mathbf{Z}M^\top + M\mathbf{Z}A^\top + f_2 g_k f_3^\top = 0$$

with $g^\top = f_1^\top H^{-\top} Q$.

An example: (Random data)

n	9	25	49	81	121
CPU Time (secs)	0.0054	0.0442	0.3069	1.679	6.7465

(Work in progress)

♣ For $n = 121 \Rightarrow n^3 = 1,771,561$

Conclusions and Outlook

Large-scale (Multiterm) linear equations are a new computational tool

- Reduced Order methods are a key ingredient and may show optimality properties
- Matrix equation challenges rely on strength and maturity of linear system solvers
- Low-rank tensor equations require new thinking

Webpage: www.dm.unibo.it/~simoncin

Reference for linear matrix equations:

★ V. Simoncini,

Computational methods for linear matrix equations,

SIAM Review, Sept. 2016.