

Recent advances in low-rank matrix equation solvers

Valeria Simoncini

Dipartimento di Matematica Alma Mater Studiorum - Università di Bologna valeria.simoncini@unibo.it

Some matrix equations

- Sylvester matrix equation AX + XB + D = 0 Eigenvalue pbs and tracking, Control, MOR, Assignment pbs, PDEs, Riccati eqn
- ► Lyapunov matrix equation $A\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X}A^{\top} + D = 0$, $D = D^{\top}$ Stability analysis in Control and Dynamical systems, Signal processing, eigenvalue computations
- Multiterm matrix equation

$$A_1 \mathbf{X} B_1 + A_2 \mathbf{X} B_2 + \ldots + A_\ell \mathbf{X} B_\ell = C$$

Control, (Stochastic) PDEs, ...

Survey article: V.S., SIAM Review 2016.

Some matrix equations

- Sylvester matrix equation AX + XB + D = 0 Eigenvalue pbs and tracking, Control, MOR, Assignment pbs, PDEs, Riccati eqn
- ► Lyapunov matrix equation $AX + XA^{\top} + D = 0$, $D = D^{\top}$ Stability analysis in Control and Dynamical systems, Signal processing, eigenvalue computations

Multiterm matrix equation

$$A_1 \mathbf{X} B_1 + A_2 \mathbf{X} B_2 + \ldots + A_\ell \mathbf{X} B_\ell = C$$

Control, (Stochastic) PDEs, ...

Survey article: V.S., SIAM Review 2016.

Some matrix equations

- Sylvester matrix equation AX + XB + D = 0 Eigenvalue pbs and tracking, Control, MOR, Assignment pbs, PDEs, Riccati eqn
- ► Lyapunov matrix equation $A\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X}A^{\top} + D = 0$, $D = D^{\top}$ Stability analysis in Control and Dynamical systems, Signal processing, eigenvalue computations
- Multiterm matrix equation

$$A_1 \mathbf{X} B_1 + A_2 \mathbf{X} B_2 + \ldots + A_\ell \mathbf{X} B_\ell = C$$

Control, (Stochastic) PDEs, ...

Survey article: V.S., SIAM Review 2016.

More matrix equations

Systems of linear matrix equations:

$$A_2 \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X} A_1 + B^\top \mathbf{P} = F_1$$

$$A_1 \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{Y} A_2 + \mathbf{P} B = F_2$$

$$B \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{Y} B^\top = F_3$$

(V.S., 2019)

• Riccati equation: Find $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that

 $A\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X}A^{\top} - \mathbf{X}BB^{\top}\mathbf{X} + C^{\top}C = 0$

workhorse in Control Theory

• Tensor equation: Find $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n}$ such that

 $(H \otimes M \otimes A + M \otimes A \otimes H + A \otimes H \otimes M)\mathbf{x} + c = 0 \quad \mathbf{x} = \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{X})$

Discretization of (parameter-dependent) PDEs

(Kronecker product, $(M \otimes N) = (M_{i,j}N)$)

More matrix equations

Systems of linear matrix equations:

$$A_2 \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X} A_1 + B^{\top} \mathbf{P} = F_1$$

$$A_1 \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{Y} A_2 + \mathbf{P} B = F_2$$

$$B \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{Y} B^{\top} = F_3$$

(V.S., 2019)

• Riccati equation: Find $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that

 $A\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X}A^{\top} - \mathbf{X}BB^{\top}\mathbf{X} + C^{\top}C = 0$

workhorse in Control Theory

• Tensor equation: Find $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n}$ such that

 $(H \otimes M \otimes A + M \otimes A \otimes H + A \otimes H \otimes M)\mathbf{x} + c = 0 \quad \mathbf{x} = \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{X})$

Discretization of (parameter-dependent) PDEs

(Kronecker product, $(M \otimes N) = (M_{i,i}N)$)

More matrix equations

Systems of linear matrix equations:

$$A_2 \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X} A_1 + B^{\top} \mathbf{P} = F_1$$

$$A_1 \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{Y} A_2 + \mathbf{P} B = F_2$$

$$B \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{Y} B^{\top} = F_3$$

(V.S., 2019)

▶ Riccati equation: Find $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that

 $A\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X}A^{\top} - \mathbf{X}BB^{\top}\mathbf{X} + C^{\top}C = 0$

workhorse in Control Theory

▶ Tensor equation: Find $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n}$ such that

 $(H \otimes M \otimes A + M \otimes A \otimes H + A \otimes H \otimes M)\mathbf{x} + c = 0 \quad \mathbf{x} = \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{X})$

Discretization of (parameter-dependent) PDEs (Kronecker product, $(M \otimes N) = (M_{i,i}N)$)

which linear equation

which matrix structure

which size

key property: tensor product space approximation to the continuous problem

- Finite differences, e.g., Bickley & McNamee, 1960, Wachspress, 1963
- Certain spectral methods, e.g., Canuto, Hussaini, Quarteroni and Zang, 1980s
- Isogeometric Analysis (IGA)
- Space-parameter, Space-time and Parallel-in-Time (PinT) discretizations

- ...

Symmetry, sparsity, etc., and also low-rank properties of data and solution

Matrix equation size

Tiny Small Large

... Before proceeding:

which linear equation

which matrix structure

which size

key property: tensor product space approximation to the continuous problem

- Finite differences, e.g., Bickley & McNamee, 1960, Wachspress, 1963
- Certain spectral methods, e.g., Canuto, Hussaini, Quarteroni and Zang, 1980s
- Isogeometric Analysis (IGA)
- Space-parameter, Space-time and Parallel-in-Time (PinT) discretizations

- ...

Symmetry, sparsity, etc., and also low-rank properties of data and solution

Matrix equation size

Tiny Small Large

... Before proceeding:

which linear equation

which matrix structure

which size

key property: tensor product space approximation to the continuous problem

- Finite differences, e.g., Bickley & McNamee, 1960, Wachspress, 1963
- Certain spectral methods, e.g., Canuto, Hussaini, Quarteroni and Zang, 1980s
- Isogeometric Analysis (IGA)
- Space-parameter, Space-time and Parallel-in-Time (PinT) discretizations

- ...

Symmetry, sparsity, etc., and also low-rank properties of data and solution

Matrix equation size

Finy Small Large

... Before proceeding:

which linear equation

which matrix structure

which size

key property: tensor product space approximation to the continuous problem

- Finite differences, e.g., Bickley & McNamee, 1960, Wachspress, 1963
- Certain spectral methods, e.g., Canuto, Hussaini, Quarteroni and Zang, 1980s
- Isogeometric Analysis (IGA)
- Space-parameter, Space-time and Parallel-in-Time (PinT) discretizations

- ...

Symmetry, sparsity, etc., and also low-rank properties of data and solution

Matrix equation size

Tiny Small Large

What do we expect from this ?

Pros:

- ✓ Smaller dimensional matrices
- ✓ Preserve continuous problem's properties
- ✓ Exploit structure (e.g., symmetry)
- ✓ Reach more complex problems

Cons:

- × Extra effort to go beyond vectors
- X Need to leave "comfort zone" of established NLA
- × Different interpretation of your NLA data

We develop our description "by exemplification"

What do we expect from this ?

Pros:

- $\checkmark\,$ Smaller dimensional matrices
- ✓ Preserve continuous problem's properties
- ✓ Exploit structure (e.g., symmetry)
- ✓ Reach more complex problems

Cons:

- X Extra effort to go beyond vectors
- X Need to leave "comfort zone" of established NLA
- × Different interpretation of your NLA data

We develop our description "by exemplification"

What do we expect from this ?

Pros:

- ✓ Smaller dimensional matrices
- ✓ Preserve continuous problem's properties
- ✓ Exploit structure (e.g., symmetry)
- ✓ Reach more complex problems

Cons:

- X Extra effort to go beyond vectors
- X Need to leave "comfort zone" of established NLA
- × Different interpretation of your NLA data

We develop our description "by exemplification"

 $-u_{xx} - u_{yy} = f$, in $\Omega = (0, 1)^2$ + Dirichlet zero b.c.

FD Discretization: $U_{i,j} \approx u(x_i, y_j)$, with (x_i, y_j) interior nodes, so that

$$T_1 \mathbf{U} + \mathbf{U} T_1^{\top} = F$$
, $F_{ij} = f(x_i, y_j)$, $T_1 = -\frac{1}{h^2} \operatorname{tridiag}(1, -2, 1)$

Lexicographic ordering: $\mathbf{U} \to \mathbf{u} = [\mathbf{U}_{11}, \mathbf{U}_{n,1}, \mathbf{U}_{1,2}, \dots, \mathbf{U}_{n,2}, \dots]^\top$ $A\mathbf{u} = f \qquad A = I \otimes T_1 + T_1 \otimes I, \ f = \operatorname{vec}(F),$

More generally, w/separable coefficients (and convection-diffusion)

 $-u_{xx} - u_{yy} = f$, in $\Omega = (0, 1)^2$ + Dirichlet zero b.c.

FD Discretization: $U_{i,j} \approx u(x_i, y_j)$, with (x_i, y_j) interior nodes, so that

$$T_1 \mathbf{U} + \mathbf{U} T_1^{\top} = F$$
, $F_{ij} = f(x_i, y_j)$, $T_1 = -\frac{1}{h^2} \operatorname{tridiag}(1, -2, 1)$

Lexicographic ordering: $\mathbf{U} \to \mathbf{u} = [\mathbf{U}_{11}, \mathbf{U}_{n,1}, \mathbf{U}_{1,2}, \dots, \mathbf{U}_{n,2}, \dots]^\top$ $A\mathbf{u} = f \qquad A = I \otimes T_1 + T_1 \otimes I, \ f = \operatorname{vec}(F),$

More generally, w/separable coefficients (and convection-diffusion)

 $-u_{xx} - u_{yy} = f$, in $\Omega = (0, 1)^2$ + Dirichlet zero b.c.

FD Discretization: $U_{i,j} \approx u(x_i, y_j)$, with (x_i, y_j) interior nodes, so that

$$T_1 \mathbf{U} + \mathbf{U} T_1^{\top} = F$$
, $F_{ij} = f(x_i, y_j)$, $T_1 = -\frac{1}{h^2} \operatorname{tridiag}(1, -2, 1)$

Lexicographic ordering: $\mathbf{U} \to \mathbf{u} = [\mathbf{U}_{11}, \mathbf{U}_{n,1}, \mathbf{U}_{1,2}, \dots, \mathbf{U}_{n,2}, \dots]^\top$ $A\mathbf{u} = f \qquad A = I \otimes T_1 + T_1 \otimes I, \ f = \operatorname{vec}(F),$

More generally, w/separable coefficients (and convection-diffusion)

 $-u_{xx} - u_{yy} = f$, in $\Omega = (0, 1)^2$ + Dirichlet zero b.c.

FD Discretization: $U_{i,j} \approx u(x_i, y_j)$, with (x_i, y_j) interior nodes, so that

$$T_1 \mathbf{U} + \mathbf{U} T_1^{\top} = F$$
, $F_{ij} = f(x_i, y_j)$, $T_1 = -\frac{1}{h^2} \operatorname{tridiag}(1, -2, 1)$

Lexicographic ordering: $\mathbf{U} \to \mathbf{u} = [\mathbf{U}_{11}, \mathbf{U}_{n,1}, \mathbf{U}_{1,2}, \dots, \mathbf{U}_{n,2}, \dots]^\top$ $A\mathbf{u} = f \qquad A = I \otimes T_1 + T_1 \otimes I, \ f = \operatorname{vec}(F),$

More generally, w/separable coefficients (and convection-diffusion)

Numerical solution of the Sylvester equation

 $A\boldsymbol{U} + \boldsymbol{U}B = F$

Various settings:

- Tiny A and B: Kron will do!
- Small A and B: Bartels-Stewart algorithm (Computes the Schur form of A and B)

Large A and B: Iterative solution (F low rank)

- Projection methods
- ADI (Alternating Direction Iteration)
- Data sparse approaches (structure-dependent)

Numerical solution of the Sylvester equation

 $A\boldsymbol{U} + \boldsymbol{U}B = F$

Various settings:

- Tiny A and B: Kron will do!
- Small A and B: Bartels-Stewart algorithm (Computes the Schur form of A and B)

Large A and B: Iterative solution (F low rank)

Projection methods

- ADI (Alternating Direction Iteration)
- Data sparse approaches (structure-dependent)

Numerical solution of the Sylvester equation

 $A\boldsymbol{U} + \boldsymbol{U}B = F$

Various settings:

- Tiny A and B: Kron will do!
- Small A and B: Bartels-Stewart algorithm (Computes the Schur form of A and B)
- Large A and B: Iterative solution (F low rank)
 - Projection methods
 - ADI (Alternating Direction Iteration)
 - Data sparse approaches (structure-dependent)

All-at-once heat equation

$$u_t + \ell(u) = f$$
 $u(0) = 0$ (for convenience)

Variational formulation

find
$$u \in U$$
: $b(u, v) = \langle f, v \rangle$ for all $v \in V$

where $U := H^{1}_{(0)}(\mathcal{I}; X') \cap L_{2}(\mathcal{I}, X), X := H^{1}_{0}(\Omega), V := L_{2}(\mathcal{I}; X)$ $b(u, v) := \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} u_{t}(t, x) v(t, x) dx dt + \int_{0}^{\tau} a(u(t), v(t)) dt$ $\langle f, v \rangle := \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} f(t, x) v(t, x) dx dt.$

Discretization: Petrov-Galerkin method with trial and test spaces $U_{\delta} \subset U$, $V_{\delta} \subset V$

find
$$u_{\delta} \in U_{\delta}$$
: $b(u_{\delta}, v_{\delta}) = \langle f, v_{\delta} \rangle$ for all $v_{\delta} \in V_{\delta}$

with $U_{\delta} := S_{\Delta t} \otimes X_h$, $V_{\delta} = Q_{\Delta t} \otimes X_h$ where

 $S_{\Delta t}$: piecewise linear FE on \mathcal{I} $Q_{\Delta t}$: piecewise constant FE on \mathcal{I}

 X_h : any conformal space, e.g., p.w. linear FE

 \clubsuit Well-posedness (discrete inf-sup cond) depends on the choice of U_{δ}, V_{δ}

All-at-once heat equation

$$u_t + \ell(u) = f$$
 $u(0) = 0$ (for convenience)

Variational formulation

find
$$u \in U$$
: $b(u, v) = \langle f, v \rangle$ for all $v \in V$

where
$$U := H^1_{(0)}(\mathcal{I}; X') \cap L_2(\mathcal{I}, X), \ X := H^1_0(\Omega), \ V := L_2(\mathcal{I}; X)$$
$$b(u, v) := \int_0^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} u_t(t, x) v(t, x) \, dx \, dt + \int_0^{\tau} a(u(t), v(t)) \, dt$$
$$\langle f, v \rangle := \int_0^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} f(t, x) v(t, x) \, dx \, dt.$$

Discretization: Petrov-Galerkin method with trial and test spaces $U_{\delta} \subset U$, $V_{\delta} \subset V$

find
$$u_{\delta} \in U_{\delta}$$
: $b(u_{\delta}, v_{\delta}) = \langle f, v_{\delta} \rangle$ for all $v_{\delta} \in V_{\delta}$

with $U_{\delta} := S_{\Delta t} \otimes X_h$, $V_{\delta} = Q_{\Delta t} \otimes X_h$ where

 $S_{\Delta t}$: piecewise linear FE on \mathcal{I} $Q_{\Delta t}$: piecewise constant FE on \mathcal{I} X_h : any conformal space, e.g., p.w. linear FE

 \clubsuit Well-posedness (discrete inf-sup cond) depends on the choice of U_{δ}, V_{δ}

The final linear system

$$B_{\delta}^{\top}u_{\delta}=f_{\delta}$$

where

$$[B_{\delta}]_{(k,i),(\ell,j)} = (\dot{\sigma}^{k}, \tau^{\ell})_{L_{2}(\mathcal{I})} (\phi_{i}, \phi_{j})_{L_{2}(\Omega)} + (\sigma^{k}, \tau^{\ell})_{L_{2}(\mathcal{I})} a(\phi_{i}, \phi_{j}),$$

$$[f_{\delta}]_{(\ell,j)} = (f, \tau^{\ell} \otimes \phi_{j})_{L_{2}(\mathcal{I};H)}$$

that is, $B_{\delta} = D_{\Delta t} \otimes M_h + C_{\Delta t} \otimes K_h$

Remark: We approximate f_{δ} to achieve full tensor-product structure

Resulting generalized Sylvester equation:

 $M_h \mathbf{U}_{\delta} D_{\Delta t} + K_h \mathbf{U}_{\delta} C_{\Delta t} = F_{\delta}, \quad \text{with} \quad F_{\delta} = [g_1, \dots, g_P] [h_1, \dots, h_P]^{\top}$

 F_{δ} matrix of low rank \Rightarrow \mathbf{U}_{δ} approx by low rank matrix $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_{\delta}$

(Julian Henning, Davide Palitta, V. S., Karsten Urban, 2021)

A simple example

 $\Omega = (-1, 1)^3$, with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions $\mathcal{I} = (0, 10)$ and initial conditions $u(0, x, y, z) \equiv 0$ $f(t, x, y, z) := 10 \sin(t) t \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}x) \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}y) \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}z)$ (F_{δ} is thus low rank)

		RKSM			CN Time(s)		
N _h	Nt	lts	μ_{mem}	$rank(\widetilde{U}_{\delta})$	Time(s)	Direct	Iterative
41 300	300	13	14	9	25.96	123.43	59.10
	500	13	14	9	30.46	143.71	78.01
	700	13	14	9	28.17	153.38	93.03
347 361	300	14	15	9	820.17	14705.10	792.42
	500	14	15	9	828.34	15215.47	1041.47
	700	14	15	7	826.93	15917.52	1212.57

A Memory allocations in CN are for full problem

Sylvester-oriented method: overall Space and Time independence

The multiterm matrix equation problem

$$A_1 \mathbf{X} B_1 + A_2 \mathbf{X} B_2 + \ldots + A_\ell \mathbf{X} B_\ell = C$$

 $A_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n imes n}, \ B_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m imes m}$, X unknown matrix

- Kronecker form and back on track
- Fixed point iterations (an "evergreen"…)
- Projection-type methods provide the provide the provide the provide the provided the provide
- Ad-hoc problem-dependent procedures
- etc.

A sample of these methodologies on different problems:

- Stochastic PDEs
- 🐥 PDEs on polygonal domains, IGA, spectral methods, etc
- Space-time PDEs
- All-at-once PDE-constrained optimization problem
- Bilinear control problems

The multiterm matrix equation problem

$$A_1 \mathbf{X} B_1 + A_2 \mathbf{X} B_2 + \ldots + A_\ell \mathbf{X} B_\ell = C$$

 $A_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n imes n}, \ B_i \in \mathbb{R}^{m imes m}$, X unknown matrix

- Kronecker form and back on track
- Fixed point iterations (an "evergreen"...)
- ▶ Projection-type methods ⇒ low rank approximation
- Ad-hoc problem-dependent procedures
- etc.

A sample of these methodologies on different problems:

- Stochastic PDEs
- PDEs on polygonal domains, IGA, spectral methods, etc
- Space-time PDEs
- All-at-once PDE-constrained optimization problem
- Bilinear control problems

"Ultraweak" variational formulations of the wave equation

 $\ddot{u}(t) + A u(t) = f(t) \text{ in } V', \ t \in I \text{ a.e.}, \qquad u(0) = u_0 \in H, \ \dot{u}(0) = u_1 \in V'.$

initial state in, say, $L_2(\Omega)$, initial velocity in, say, $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ (very low regularity) \Rightarrow weakly smooth solution

$$b(u_{\delta},v_{\delta})=g(v_{\delta})\quad \forall v_{\delta}\in\mathbb{V}_{\delta}$$

with

$$b(u_{\delta},v_{\delta}) := (u_{\delta},\ddot{v_{\delta}} + Av_{\delta})_{\mathcal{H}}, \qquad g(v_{\delta}) := (f_{\delta},v_{\delta})_{\mathcal{H}} + \langle u_{1},v_{\delta}(0)\rangle - (u_{0},\dot{v}(0))_{H},$$

* Test space \mathbb{V}_{δ} : proper piecewise quadratic splines in time and conformal (e.g. piecewise quadratic) finite elements in space,

* Trial space \mathbb{U}_{δ} : adjoint operator $B^* = \partial_{tt} + A$ applied to test basis functions

(Julian Henning, Davide Palitta, V. S., Karsten Urban, 2022)

"Ultraweak" variational formulations of the wave equation

 $\ddot{u}(t) + A u(t) = f(t) \text{ in } V', \ t \in I \text{ a.e.}, \qquad u(0) = u_0 \in H, \ \dot{u}(0) = u_1 \in V'.$

initial state in, say, $L_2(\Omega)$, initial velocity in, say, $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ (very low regularity) \Rightarrow weakly smooth solution

$$b(u_{\delta},v_{\delta})=g(v_{\delta}) \quad \forall v_{\delta}\in \mathbb{V}_{\delta}$$

with

$$b(u_{\delta},v_{\delta}) := (u_{\delta},\ddot{v_{\delta}} + Av_{\delta})_{\mathcal{H}}, \qquad g(v_{\delta}) := (f_{\delta},v_{\delta})_{\mathcal{H}} + \langle u_1,v_{\delta}(0)\rangle - (u_0,\dot{v}(0))_{\mathcal{H}},$$

* Test space \mathbb{V}_{δ} : proper piecewise quadratic splines in time and conformal (e.g. piecewise quadratic) finite elements in space,

* Trial space \mathbb{U}_{δ} : adjoint operator $B^* = \partial_{tt} + A$ applied to test basis functions

(Julian Henning, Davide Palitta, V. S., Karsten Urban, 2022)

In the optimal inf-sup case, $\mathbb{B}_{\delta} = \mathbf{Q}_{\Delta t} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{h} + \mathbf{N}_{\Delta t} \otimes \mathbf{N}_{h}^{\top} + \mathbf{N}_{\Delta t}^{\top} \otimes \mathbf{N}_{h} + \mathbf{M}_{\Delta t} \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{h},$ $\mathbb{B}_{\delta} \mathbf{u}_{\delta} = \mathbf{g}_{\delta} \qquad \mathbb{B}_{\delta} \text{ spd for } \mathbf{A} = -\Delta$

where

$$[\boldsymbol{Q}_{\Delta t}]_{\ell,k} := (\ddot{\varrho}^{\ell}, \ddot{\varrho}^{k})_{L_{2}(I)}, \quad [\boldsymbol{M}_{\Delta t}]_{\ell,k} := (\varrho^{\ell}, \varrho^{k})_{L_{2}(I)}, \quad [\boldsymbol{N}_{\Delta t}]_{\ell,k} := (\ddot{\varrho}^{\ell}, \varrho^{k})_{L_{2}(I)},$$

$$[\mathbf{Q}_{h}]_{j,i} := (A\phi_{j}, A\phi_{i})_{L_{2}(\Omega)}, \quad [\mathbf{M}_{h}]_{j,i} := (\phi_{j}, \phi_{i})_{L_{2}(\Omega)}, \quad [\mathbf{N}_{h}]_{j,i} := (A\phi_{j}, \phi_{i})_{L_{2}(\Omega)}.$$

 $[\mathbf{g}_{\delta}]_{\nu} = (f, \varphi_{\nu})_{\mathcal{H}} + \langle u_1, \varphi_{\nu}(\mathbf{0}) \rangle_{V' \times V} - (u_0, \dot{\varphi_{\nu}}(\mathbf{0}))_{\mathcal{H}} \qquad \text{plus quad formulas}$



V. Simoncini - Advances in low-rank linear solvers

In the optimal inf-sup case, $\mathbb{B}_{\delta} = \mathbf{Q}_{\Delta t} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{h} + \mathbf{N}_{\Delta t} \otimes \mathbf{N}_{h}^{\top} + \mathbf{N}_{\Delta t}^{\top} \otimes \mathbf{N}_{h} + \mathbf{M}_{\Delta t} \otimes \mathbf{Q}_{h},$ $\mathbb{B}_{\delta} \mathbf{u}_{\delta} = \mathbf{g}_{\delta} \qquad \mathbb{B}_{\delta} \text{ spd for } \mathbf{A} = -\Delta$

where

$$[\boldsymbol{Q}_{\Delta t}]_{\ell,k} := (\ddot{\varrho}^{\ell}, \ddot{\varrho}^{k})_{L_{2}(I)}, \quad [\boldsymbol{M}_{\Delta t}]_{\ell,k} := (\varrho^{\ell}, \varrho^{k})_{L_{2}(I)}, \quad [\boldsymbol{N}_{\Delta t}]_{\ell,k} := (\ddot{\varrho}^{\ell}, \varrho^{k})_{L_{2}(I)},$$

$$[\mathbf{Q}_{h}]_{j,i} := (A\phi_{j}, A\phi_{i})_{L_{2}(\Omega)}, \quad [\mathbf{M}_{h}]_{j,i} := (\phi_{j}, \phi_{i})_{L_{2}(\Omega)}, \quad [\mathbf{N}_{h}]_{j,i} := (A\phi_{j}, \phi_{i})_{L_{2}(\Omega)}.$$

 $[\mathbf{g}_{\delta}]_{\nu} = (f, \varphi_{\nu})_{\mathcal{H}} + \langle u_1, \varphi_{\nu}(\mathbf{0}) \rangle_{V' \times V} - (u_0, \dot{\varphi_{\nu}}(\mathbf{0}))_{\mathcal{H}} \qquad \text{plus quad formulas}$



V. Simoncini - Advances in low-rank linear solvers

$$\mathsf{For} \quad \mathbb{B}_{\delta} = \boldsymbol{Q}_{\Delta t} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{h} + (\boldsymbol{N}_{\Delta t} + \boldsymbol{N}_{\Delta t}^{\top}) \otimes \boldsymbol{N}_{h}^{\top} + \boldsymbol{M}_{\Delta t} \otimes \boldsymbol{Q}_{h},$$

$$\mathbb{B}_{\delta}\boldsymbol{u}_{\delta} = \boldsymbol{g}_{\delta} \qquad (*)$$

Structure-aware Preconditioned "matrix-oriented" Conjugate gradients

- ▶ Robust preconditioning $\mathbb{K}_{\delta}^{\top}\mathbb{M}_{\delta}^{-1}\mathbb{K}_{\delta}$ $(\mathbb{M}_{\delta} := M_{\Delta t} \otimes M_{h}$ and $\mathbb{K}_{\delta} := N_{\Delta t} \otimes M_{h} + M_{\Delta t} \otimes N_{h})$
- ▶ (cheaper) Sylvester preconditioning $\mathbb{P} = \boldsymbol{Q}_{\Delta t} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_h + \boldsymbol{M}_{\Delta t} \otimes \boldsymbol{Q}_h$

Galerkin method

Fransform (*) into linear multiterm matrix equation:

 $M_h U Q_{\Delta t}^{\top} + N_h^{\top} U (N_{\Delta t}^{\top} + N_{\Delta t}) + Q_h U M_{\Delta t} = G, \qquad G = G_1 G_2^{\top}$

Approximate **U** as $U_k = V_k Y_k W_k^{\top}$ of low rank:

i) Properly chose V_k , W_k ii) Impose Galerkin orthogonality of residual wrto $W_k \otimes V_k$ This gives

$(\boldsymbol{V}_{k}^{\top}\boldsymbol{M}_{h}\boldsymbol{V}_{k})\boldsymbol{Y}_{k}(\boldsymbol{W}_{k}^{\top}\boldsymbol{Q}_{\Delta t}^{\top}\boldsymbol{W}_{k}) + (\boldsymbol{V}_{k}^{\top}\boldsymbol{N}_{h}^{\top}\boldsymbol{V}_{k})\boldsymbol{Y}_{k}(\boldsymbol{W}_{k}^{\top}(\boldsymbol{N}_{\Delta t}^{\top}+\boldsymbol{N}_{\Delta t})\boldsymbol{W}_{k}) \\ + (\boldsymbol{V}_{k}^{\top}\boldsymbol{Q}_{h}\boldsymbol{V}_{k})\boldsymbol{Y}_{k}(\boldsymbol{W}_{k}^{\top}\boldsymbol{M}_{\Delta t}\boldsymbol{W}_{k}) = (\boldsymbol{V}_{k}^{\top}\boldsymbol{G}_{1})(\boldsymbol{G}_{2}^{\top}\boldsymbol{W}_{k}).$

$$\mathsf{For} \quad \mathbb{B}_{\delta} = \boldsymbol{Q}_{\Delta t} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{h} + (\boldsymbol{N}_{\Delta t} + \boldsymbol{N}_{\Delta t}^{\top}) \otimes \boldsymbol{N}_{h}^{\top} + \boldsymbol{M}_{\Delta t} \otimes \boldsymbol{Q}_{h},$$

$$\mathbb{B}_{\delta}\boldsymbol{u}_{\delta} = \boldsymbol{g}_{\delta} \qquad (*)$$

Structure-aware Preconditioned "matrix-oriented" Conjugate gradients

- ▶ Robust preconditioning $\mathbb{K}_{\delta}^{\top}\mathbb{M}_{\delta}^{-1}\mathbb{K}_{\delta}$ $(\mathbb{M}_{\delta} := M_{\Delta t} \otimes M_{h} \text{ and } \mathbb{K}_{\delta} := N_{\Delta t} \otimes M_{h} + M_{\Delta t} \otimes N_{h})$
- (cheaper) Sylvester preconditioning $\mathbb{P} = \boldsymbol{Q}_{\Delta t} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_h + \boldsymbol{M}_{\Delta t} \otimes \boldsymbol{Q}_h$

Galerkin method

Transform (*) into linear multiterm matrix equation:

 $\boldsymbol{M}_{h}\boldsymbol{U}\boldsymbol{Q}_{\Delta t}^{\top} + \boldsymbol{N}_{h}^{\top}\boldsymbol{U}(\boldsymbol{N}_{\Delta t}^{\top} + \boldsymbol{N}_{\Delta t}) + \boldsymbol{Q}_{h}\boldsymbol{U}\boldsymbol{M}_{\Delta t} = \boldsymbol{G}, \qquad \boldsymbol{G} = \boldsymbol{G}_{1}\boldsymbol{G}_{2}^{\top}$

Approximate **U** as $U_k = V_k Y_k W_k^{\top}$ of low rank:

i) Properly chose V_k, W_k ii) Impose Galerkin orthogonality of residual wrto $W_k \otimes V_k$ This gives

 $(\boldsymbol{V}_{k}^{\top}\boldsymbol{M}_{h}\boldsymbol{V}_{k})\boldsymbol{Y}_{k}(\boldsymbol{W}_{k}^{\top}\boldsymbol{Q}_{\Delta t}^{\top}\boldsymbol{W}_{k}) + (\boldsymbol{V}_{k}^{\top}\boldsymbol{N}_{h}^{\top}\boldsymbol{V}_{k})\boldsymbol{Y}_{k}(\boldsymbol{W}_{k}^{\top}(\boldsymbol{N}_{\Delta t}^{\top}+\boldsymbol{N}_{\Delta t})\boldsymbol{W}_{k}) \\ + (\boldsymbol{V}_{k}^{\top}\boldsymbol{Q}_{h}\boldsymbol{V}_{k})\boldsymbol{Y}_{k}(\boldsymbol{W}_{k}^{\top}\boldsymbol{M}_{\Delta t}\boldsymbol{W}_{k}) = (\boldsymbol{V}_{k}^{\top}\boldsymbol{G}_{1})(\boldsymbol{G}_{2}^{\top}\boldsymbol{W}_{k}).$

$$\mathsf{For} \quad \mathbb{B}_{\delta} = \boldsymbol{Q}_{\Delta t} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{h} + (\boldsymbol{N}_{\Delta t} + \boldsymbol{N}_{\Delta t}^{\top}) \otimes \boldsymbol{N}_{h}^{\top} + \boldsymbol{M}_{\Delta t} \otimes \boldsymbol{Q}_{h},$$

$$\mathbb{B}_{\delta} \boldsymbol{u}_{\delta} = \boldsymbol{g}_{\delta} \qquad (*)$$

Structure-aware Preconditioned "matrix-oriented" Conjugate gradients

- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Robust preconditioning } \mathbb{K}_{\delta}^{\top} \mathbb{M}_{\delta}^{-1} \mathbb{K}_{\delta} \ (\mathbb{M}_{\delta} := \mathbf{M}_{\Delta t} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{h} \text{ and } \mathbb{K}_{\delta} := \mathbf{N}_{\Delta t} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{h} + \mathbf{M}_{\Delta t} \otimes \mathbf{N}_{h})$
- ► (cheaper) Sylvester preconditioning $\mathbb{P} = Q_{\Delta t} \otimes M_h + M_{\Delta t} \otimes Q_h$

Galerkin method

Transform (*) into linear multiterm matrix equation:

$$\boldsymbol{M}_{h} \boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{Q}_{\Delta t}^{\top} + \boldsymbol{N}_{h}^{\top} \boldsymbol{U} (\boldsymbol{N}_{\Delta t}^{\top} + \boldsymbol{N}_{\Delta t}) + \boldsymbol{Q}_{h} \boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Delta t} = \boldsymbol{G}, \qquad \boldsymbol{G} = \boldsymbol{G}_{1} \boldsymbol{G}_{2}^{\top}$$

Approximate **U** as $U_k = V_k Y_k W_k^{\top}$ of low rank:

i) Properly chose V_k, W_k ii) Impose Galerkin orthogonality of residual wrto $W_k \otimes V_k$ This gives

$$(\boldsymbol{V}_{k}^{\top}\boldsymbol{M}_{h}\boldsymbol{V}_{k})\boldsymbol{Y}_{k}(\boldsymbol{W}_{k}^{\top}\boldsymbol{Q}_{\Delta t}^{\top}\boldsymbol{W}_{k}) + (\boldsymbol{V}_{k}^{\top}\boldsymbol{N}_{h}^{\top}\boldsymbol{V}_{k})\boldsymbol{Y}_{k}(\boldsymbol{W}_{k}^{\top}(\boldsymbol{N}_{\Delta t}^{\top}+\boldsymbol{N}_{\Delta t})\boldsymbol{W}_{k}) \\ + (\boldsymbol{V}_{k}^{\top}\boldsymbol{Q}_{h}\boldsymbol{V}_{k})\boldsymbol{Y}_{k}(\boldsymbol{W}_{k}^{\top}\boldsymbol{M}_{\Delta t}\boldsymbol{W}_{k}) = (\boldsymbol{V}_{k}^{\top}\boldsymbol{G}_{1})(\boldsymbol{G}_{2}^{\top}\boldsymbol{W}_{k}).$$

A numerical example. Discontinuous solution.

 $A = -c^2 \Delta$ (c wave speed), $H = L_2(\Omega)$, $\Omega = (0,1)^3$, $V = H_0^1(\Omega)$ $u_0 = \mathbf{1}_{r < \sqrt{2}/5}$



V. Simoncini - Advances in low-rank linear solvers

PDE-Constrained optimization problems

Functional to be minimized:

$$J(y, u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_1} (y - \hat{y})^2 \mathrm{dxdt} + \frac{\beta}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_u} u^2 \mathrm{dxdt}$$

* y: is the state, \hat{y} is the desired state given on a subset Ω_1 of Ω , * u is the control on a subset Ω_u of Ω , (regularized by the control cost parameter β)

PDE constraining the functional J(y, u) (Dirichlet b.c.): for instance,

$$\begin{split} \dot{y} - \Delta y &= u \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega_u, \\ \dot{y} - \Delta y &= 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \setminus \Omega_u, \\ y &= 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \partial \Omega. \end{split}$$

All-at-once strategy (space, time, multipliers)

Resulting matrix equation efficiently solved by using a tailored low-rank Galerkin method (Alexandra Bünger, V.S., and Martin Stoll, 2021)

Further considerations

More structure yields improved algorithmic design. For instance,

 $A\boldsymbol{X} + \boldsymbol{X}B + M_1\boldsymbol{X}M_2 = C$

with M_1, M_2 low rank

- Truncated versions of matrix-oriented Krylov methods have better chances
- 3D case leads to linear tensor equations: a new research area
- Matrix-oriented discretization methods for reaction-diffusion PDEs

REFERENCES

- J. Henning, D. Palitta, V. S., K. Urban, A Very Weak Space-Time Variational Formulation for the Wave Equation: Analysis and Efficient Numerical Solution, M2AN, 2022

- A. Buenger, V. S., M. Stoll A low-rank matrix equation method for solving PDE-constrained optimization problems, SISC 2021

- Y. Hao, V. S., *Matrix equation solving of PDEs in polygonal domains using conformal mappings*, J. Numerical Mathematics, 2021

- Y. Hao, V. S., The Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula for generalized linear matrix equations and applications, Numer. Linear Algebra w/Appl. 2021

- J. Henning, D. Palitta, V. S., K. Urban, *Matrix oriented reduction of space-time Petrov-Galerkin variational problems*, ENUMATH 2019,' Proceedings, Springer 2021

- V. S., Computational methods for linear matrix equations (Survey article), SIAM Review, 2016

Further considerations

More structure yields improved algorithmic design. For instance,

 $A\boldsymbol{X} + \boldsymbol{X}B + M_1\boldsymbol{X}M_2 = C$

with M_1, M_2 low rank

- Truncated versions of matrix-oriented Krylov methods have better chances
- 3D case leads to linear tensor equations: a new research area
- Matrix-oriented discretization methods for reaction-diffusion PDEs

REFERENCES

- J. Henning, D. Palitta, V. S., K. Urban, A Very Weak Space-Time Variational Formulation for the Wave Equation: Analysis and Efficient Numerical Solution, M2AN, 2022

- A. Buenger, V. S., M. Stoll A low-rank matrix equation method for solving PDE-constrained optimization problems, SISC 2021

- Y. Hao, V. S., *Matrix equation solving of PDEs in polygonal domains using conformal mappings*, J. Numerical Mathematics, 2021

- Y. Hao, V. S., The Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula for generalized linear matrix equations and applications, Numer. Linear Algebra w/Appl. 2021

- J. Henning, D. Palitta, V. S., K. Urban, *Matrix oriented reduction of space-time Petrov-Galerkin variational problems*, ENUMATH 2019,' Proceedings, Springer 2021

- V. S., Computational methods for linear matrix equations (Survey article), SIAM Review, 2016