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- Multiterm matrix equation
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Control, (Stochastic) PDEs, ...
Survey article: V.S., SIAM Review 2016.

## More matrix equations

- Systems of linear matrix equations:
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\begin{aligned}
A_{2} \boldsymbol{X}+\boldsymbol{X} A_{1}+B^{\top} \boldsymbol{P} & =F_{1} \\
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## Numerical solution of the Sylvester equation
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## All-at-once heat equation

$$
u_{t}+\ell(u)=f \quad u(0)=0 \quad \text { (for convenience) }
$$

Variational formulation
find $u \in U: \quad b(u, v)=\langle f, v\rangle \quad$ for all $v \in V$
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U:=H_{(0)}^{1}\left(\mathcal{I} ; X^{\prime}\right) \cap L_{2}(\mathcal{I}, X), X:=H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), V:=L_{2}(\mathcal{I} ; X) \\
& b(u, v):=\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} u_{t}(t, x) v(t, x) d x d t+\int_{0}^{\tau} a(u(t), v(t)) d t \\
& \langle f, v\rangle:=\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{\Omega} f(t, x) v(t, x) d x d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Discretization:
Petrov-Galerkin method with trial and test spaces $U_{\delta} \subset U, V_{\delta} \subset V$

$$
\text { find } u_{\delta} \in U_{\delta}: \quad b\left(u_{\delta}, v_{\delta}\right)=\left\langle f, v_{\delta}\right\rangle \quad \text { for all } v_{\delta} \in V_{\delta}
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Discretization: Petrov-Galerkin method with trial and test spaces $U_{\delta} \subset U, V_{\delta} \subset V$

$$
\text { find } u_{\delta} \in U_{\delta}: \quad b\left(u_{\delta}, v_{\delta}\right)=\left\langle f, v_{\delta}\right\rangle \quad \text { for all } v_{\delta} \in V_{\delta}
$$

with $U_{\delta}:=S_{\Delta t} \otimes X_{h}, V_{\delta}=Q_{\Delta t} \otimes X_{h}$ where
$S_{\Delta t}$ : piecewise linear FE on $\mathcal{I}$
$Q_{\Delta t}$ : piecewise constant FE on $\mathcal{I}$
$X_{h}$ : any conformal space, e.g., p.w. linear FE
\& Well-posedness (discrete inf-sup cond) depends on the choice of $U_{\delta}, V_{\delta}$

## The final linear system

$$
B_{\delta}^{\top} u_{\delta}=f_{\delta}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[B_{\delta}\right]_{(k, i),(\ell, j)} } & =\left(\dot{\sigma}^{k}, \tau^{\ell}\right)_{L_{2}(\mathcal{I})}\left(\phi_{i}, \phi_{j}\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}+\left(\sigma^{k}, \tau^{\ell}\right)_{L_{2}(\mathcal{I})} a\left(\phi_{i}, \phi_{j}\right), \\
{\left[f_{\delta}\right]_{(\ell, j)} } & =\left(f, \tau^{\ell} \otimes \phi_{j}\right)_{L_{2}(\mathcal{I} ; H)}
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, $B_{\delta}=D_{\Delta t} \otimes M_{h}+C_{\Delta t} \otimes K_{h}$
Remark: We approximate $f_{\delta}$ to achieve full tensor-product structure
Resulting generalized Sylvester equation:

$$
M_{h} \mathbf{U}_{\delta} D_{\Delta t}+K_{h} \mathbf{U}_{\delta} C_{\Delta t}=F_{\delta}, \quad \text { with } \quad F_{\delta}=\left[g_{1}, \ldots, g_{P}\right]\left[h_{1}, \ldots, h_{P}\right]^{\top}
$$

$$
F_{\delta} \text { matrix of low rank } \Rightarrow \mathbf{U}_{\delta} \text { approx by low rank matrix } \tilde{\mathbf{U}}_{\delta}
$$

(Julian Henning, Davide Palitta, V. S., Karsten Urban, 2021)

## A simple example

$\Omega=(-1,1)^{3}$, with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions
$\mathcal{I}=(0,10)$ and initial conditions $u(0, x, y, z) \equiv 0$
$f(t, x, y, z):=10 \sin (t) t \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2} x\right) \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2} y\right) \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2} z\right) \quad\left(F_{\delta}\right.$ is thus low rank)

|  |  |  | $\operatorname{RKSM}$ |  |  | CN Time(s) |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $N_{h}$ | $N_{t}$ | Its | $\mu_{\text {mem }}$ | $\operatorname{rank}\left(\widetilde{U}_{\delta}\right)$ | Time(s) | Direct | Iterative |
| 41300 | 300 | 13 | 14 | 9 | 25.96 | 123.43 | 59.10 |
|  | 500 | 13 | 14 | 9 | 30.46 | 143.71 | 78.01 |
|  | 700 | 13 | 14 | 9 | 28.17 | 153.38 | 93.03 |
| 347361 | 300 | 14 | 15 | 9 | 820.17 | 14705.10 | 792.42 |
|  | 500 | 14 | 15 | 9 | 828.34 | 15215.47 | 1041.47 |
|  | 700 | 14 | 15 | 7 | 826.93 | 15917.52 | 1212.57 |

\& Memory allocations in CN are for full problem
\& Sylvester-oriented method: overall Space and Time independence

## The multiterm matrix equation problem
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$A_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, B_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}, \boldsymbol{X}$ unknown matrix

- Kronecker form and back on track
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- Ad-hoc problem-dependent procedures
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\& All-at-once PDE-constrained optimization problem
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## "Ultraweak" variational formulations of the wave equation

$$
\ddot{u}(t)+A u(t)=f(t) \text { in } V^{\prime}, t \in I \text { a.e., } \quad u(0)=u_{0} \in H, \dot{u}(0)=u_{1} \in V^{\prime} .
$$

initial state in, say, $L_{2}(\Omega)$, initial velocity in, say, $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ (very low regularity) $\Rightarrow$ weakly smooth solution
with

* Test space $\mathbb{V}_{\delta}$ : proper piecewise quadratic splines in time and conformal (e.g. piecewise quadratic) finite elements in space,
* Trial space $U_{\delta}$ : adjoint operator $B^{*}=\partial_{t t}+A$ applied to test basis functions (Julian Henning, Davide Palitta, V. S., Karsten Urban, 2022)
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$$
\begin{aligned}
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& {\left[\boldsymbol{Q}_{h}\right]_{j, i}:=\left(A \phi_{j}, \boldsymbol{A} \phi_{i}\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}, \quad\left[\boldsymbol{M}_{h}\right]_{j, i}:=\left(\phi_{j}, \phi_{i}\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}, \quad\left[\boldsymbol{N}_{h}\right]_{j, i}:=\left(A \phi_{j}, \phi_{i}\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)} .}
\end{aligned}
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## The resulting linear system

For $\quad \mathbb{B}_{\delta}=\boldsymbol{Q}_{\Delta t} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{h}+\left(\boldsymbol{N}_{\Delta t}+\boldsymbol{N}_{\Delta t}^{\top}\right) \otimes \boldsymbol{N}_{h}^{\top}+\boldsymbol{M}_{\Delta t} \otimes \boldsymbol{Q}_{h}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{B}_{\delta} \boldsymbol{u}_{\delta}=\boldsymbol{g}_{\delta} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Structure-aware Preconditioned "matrix-oriented" Conjugate gradients
- Robust preconditioning $\mathbb{K}_{\delta}^{\top} \mathbb{M}_{\delta}^{-1} \mathbb{K}_{\delta}\left(\mathbb{M}_{\delta}:=\boldsymbol{M}_{\Delta t} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{h}\right.$ and $\left.\mathbb{K}_{\delta}:=\boldsymbol{N}_{\Delta t} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{h}+\boldsymbol{M}_{\Delta t} \otimes \boldsymbol{N}_{h}\right)$
- (cheaper) Sylvester preconditioning $\mathbb{P}=\boldsymbol{Q}_{\Delta t} \otimes \boldsymbol{M}_{h}+\boldsymbol{M}_{\Delta t} \otimes \boldsymbol{Q}_{h}$
- Galerkin method

Transform (*) into linear multiterm matrix equation:
$\boldsymbol{M}_{h} \boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{Q}_{\Delta t}^{\top}+\boldsymbol{N}_{h}^{\top} \boldsymbol{U}\left(\boldsymbol{N}_{\Delta t}^{\top}+\boldsymbol{N}_{\Delta t}\right)+\boldsymbol{Q}_{h} \boldsymbol{U} \mathbf{M}_{\Delta t}=G, \quad G=G_{1} G_{2}^{\top}$
Approximate $U$ as $U_{k}=V_{k} \boldsymbol{Y}_{k} \boldsymbol{W}_{k}^{\top}$ of low rank:
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This gives
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$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\boldsymbol{V}_{k}^{\top} \boldsymbol{M}_{h} \boldsymbol{V}_{k}\right) \boldsymbol{Y}_{k}\left(\boldsymbol{W}_{k}^{\top} \boldsymbol{Q}_{\Delta t}^{\top} \boldsymbol{W}_{k}\right) & +\left(\boldsymbol{V}_{k}^{\top} \boldsymbol{N}_{h}^{\top} \boldsymbol{V}_{k}\right) \boldsymbol{Y}_{k}\left(\boldsymbol{W}_{k}^{\top}\left(\boldsymbol{N}_{\Delta t}^{\top}+\boldsymbol{N}_{\Delta t}\right) \boldsymbol{W}_{k}\right) \\
& +\left(\boldsymbol{V}_{k}^{\top} \boldsymbol{Q}_{h} \boldsymbol{V}_{k}\right) \boldsymbol{Y}_{k}\left(\boldsymbol{W}_{k}^{\top} \boldsymbol{M}_{\Delta t} \boldsymbol{W}_{k}\right)=\left(\boldsymbol{V}_{k}^{\top} \boldsymbol{G}_{1}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{G}_{2}^{\top} \boldsymbol{W}_{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## A numerical example. Discontinuous solution.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=-c^{2} \Delta(c \text { wave speed }), H=L_{2}(\Omega), \Omega=(0,1)^{3}, V=H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \\
& u_{0}=\mathbf{1}_{r<\sqrt{2} / 5}
\end{aligned}
$$



## PDE-Constrained optimization problems

Functional to be minimized:

$$
J(y, u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{1}}(y-\hat{y})^{2} \mathrm{dxdt}+\frac{\beta}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{u}} u^{2} \mathrm{dxdt}
$$

$\star y$ : is the state, $\hat{y}$ is the desired state given on a subset $\Omega_{1}$ of $\Omega$,
$\star u$ is the control on a subset $\Omega_{u}$ of $\Omega$,
(regularized by the control cost parameter $\beta$ )
PDE constraining the functional $J(y, u)$ (Dirichlet b.c.): for instance,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{y}-\Delta y=u & \text { in } \quad \Omega_{u} \\
\dot{y}-\Delta y=0 & \text { in } \quad \Omega \backslash \Omega_{u} \\
y=0 & \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

\& All-at-once strategy (space, time, multipliers)
\& Resulting matrix equation efficiently solved by using a tailored low-rank Galerkin method (Alexandra Bünger, V.S., and Martin Stoll, 2021)

## Further considerations

- More structure yields improved algorithmic design. For instance,

$$
A \boldsymbol{X}+\boldsymbol{X} B+M_{1} \boldsymbol{X} M_{2}=C
$$

with $M_{1}, M_{2}$ low rank

- Truncated versions of matrix-oriented Krylov methods have better chances
- 3D case leads to linear tensor equations: a new research area
- Matrix-oriented discretization methods for reaction-diffusion PDEs
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